arXiv:1512.08390v1 [math.AG] 28 Dec 2015

DWORK FAMILIES AND $\mathcal{D}$-MODULES

ALBERTO CASTAÑO DOMÍNGUEZ

Abstract. A Dwork family is a one-parameter monomial deformation of a Fermat hypersurface. In this paper we compute algebraically the invariant part of its Gauss-Manin cohomology under the action of certain subgroup of automorphisms. To achieve that goal we use the algebraic theory of $\mathcal{D}$-modules, especially one-dimensional hypergeometric ones.

Contents

1. Introduction 1
2. Preliminaries on $\mathcal{D}$-modules 3
2.1. General theory 3
2.2. Hypergeometric $\mathcal{D}$-modules 6
3. Setting the problem 8
4. Inductive process 13
5. Exponents of $\mathcal{G}_n$ and proof of the main theorem 23
References 26

1. Introduction

Fix $\Bbbk$ to be an algebraically closed field. Let n be a positive integer and let $w = (w_0, \ldots, w_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}^{n+1}$ be an $(n+1)$-uple of positive integers such that $\gcd(w_0, \ldots, w_n) = 1$. We will denote by d_n the sum $\sum w_i \geq n+1$. Let us consider the family, parameterized by $\lambda \in \mathbb{A}^1$, of projective hypersurfaces of $\mathbb{P}^n$ given by:

$$\mathcal{X}_{n,w} : x_0^{d_n} + \ldots + x_n^{d_n} - \lambda x_0^{w_0} \cdot \ldots \cdot x_n^{w_n} = 0 \subset \mathbb{P}^n \times \mathbb{A}^1 = \mathrm{Proj}\left(\Bbbk[x_0, \ldots, x_n]\right) \times \mathrm{Spec}\left(\Bbbk[\lambda]\right).$$

This family is an example of what can be known of as a Dwork family, consisting of the deformation of a Fermat hypersurface by an arbitrary monomial in every variable. Any other family of the form

$$a_0 x_0^{d_n} + \ldots + a_n x_n^{d_n} - b\lambda x_0^{w_0} \cdot \ldots \cdot x_n^{w_n} = 0 \subset \mathbb{P}^n \times \mathbb{A}^1,$$

more general *a priori*, is isomorphic to one of the previous by the homography $x_i \mapsto \eta_i x_i$, $\lambda \mapsto b \prod \eta_i^{-w_i} \lambda$, where η_i is a d_n-th root of a_i.

Dwork families were introduced by Dwork, especially the case $w = (1, \ldots, 1)$, as a very interesting tool to understand the behaviour of the zeta function of a hypersurface defined over a finite field under a deformation (cf., for example, [Dw]). Until the nineties, the most important contributions to the knowledge of this families are due to Katz. In the works [Ka1, Ka2], respectively, he computed explicitely the differential equation satisfied by the class of the form generating the middle Gauss-Manin cohomology and the very interesting relation between that

Date: December 2015.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 14D05, 14F10.

The author is partially supported by FQM218, P12-FQM-2696, MTM2013-46231-P, FEDER and the project SISYPH: ANR-13-IS01-0001-01/02 and DFG grants HE 2287/4-1 and SE 1114/5-1.

cohomology in the ℓ-adic world and the L-function of generalized Kloosterman sums. For more information about the origins, see the historical introduction of [Ka4].

Since that discovery, very few was done with them until the incursion of mirror symmetry. The calculation of the rational points and the Gauss-Manin cohomology of certain Calabi-Yau manifolds with good properties, such as some Dwork families, is of interest to physicists working in string theory and mirror symmetry (cf. [CDR]). Because of this new interest on them, many rediscovered Dwork families as a good tool to deal with other problems. We can cite, for instance, the work [Ba] of Barannikov, later generalized by Douai and Sabbah in [DS], in which the Gauss-Manin cohomology of certain quotient of a Dwork family is a mean to study the quantum cohomology of weighted n-dimensional projective spaces. Another work of importance is [HST], where Harris, Shepherd-Barron and Taylor prove the Sato-Tate conjecture in great genereality, among other impressive results, by studying the original Dwork families.

The problem of calculating some part of the Gauss-Manin cohomology of the Dwork family $\mathcal{X}_{n,w}$ or the whole of it has been also quite addressed. We can divide the diverse works by its arithmetical setting and its way of attacking the problem. From the ℓ-adic point of view, Katz in [Ka4] computes all the cohomology of $\mathcal{X}_{n,w}$ by using all the power of the étale machinery. Rojas León and Wan in [RW], as a way to find the moment zeta functions for the original Dwork family and its quotient, use the same techniques. These works are quite complete and make the most of the ℓ-adic approach.

In the p-adic setting, a significative part of the work is done referring to Dwork's classical methods of p-adic analysis. We can cite, for instance, the work [Kl] of Kloosterman giving the expression of the matrix of the integrable Gauss-Manin connection that one obtains when avoiding singular points in terms of p-adic hypergeometric functions using an interesting mixture of rigid and Dwork's cohomologies. Or Yu's paper [Yu] studying the variation of the unit root of the original Dwork family, also with techniques going back to Dwork with a touch of crystalline cohomology.

Over the complex numbers, we have a different, computational, approach, but still *à la* Dwork-Katz, that of Salerno in [Sal]; she provides an algorithm to give the matrix of the Gauss-Manin connection. Also Yu and Katz, in their papers above referred, treat the complex setting, respectively, by finding an horizontal section to the connection, and expressing the same invariant part of the Gauss-Manin cohomology issued in this paper in terms of hypergeometric $\mathcal{D}$-modules using analytical transcendental methods.

In this paper we deal with that last problem, but in a purely algebraic way by using the power of the theory of $\mathcal{D}$-modules over any algebraically closed field of characteristic zero. This work could be thought of as a first step in two directions. Namely, one is finding, as Katz and Kloosterman do in their papers but in an algebraic way as here, the expression of the whole Gauss-Manin cohomology of a Dwork family. The second is the extension of this work to the p-adic world, with the help of a eventually good theory of p-adic cohomology. The works of Abe and Caro or Mebkhout (cf., for instance, [AC, Me2]) seem quite promising.

Before ending this section, let us introduce some general conventions and notations. An algebraic variety, or just variety, will mean for us a separated finite type equidimensional scheme over any field, reducible or not; anyway we will always work over an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero. We will denote by π_i the i-th canonical projection from a product, and by π_X the projection to a point from any variety X. For us, h_ζ will mean the homothety of $\mathbb{G}_m := \mathbb{A}^1 - \{0\}$ of ratio ζ.

Acknowledgements. This work addresses a problem introduced to the author by Steven Sperber, to whom the author is really grateful. The results of this paper conform a slight

improvement of the main part of the doctoral thesis of the author, advised by Luis Narváez Macarro and Antonio Rojas León. The author wants to thank the latter for having the idea of the inductive framework, and both of them for their support and encouragement. He is also grateful to Claude Sabbah for suggesting him the use of Fourier trasnform and pointing him out the reference [DS]. He also wants to thank his recently born son, Alberto Luna Castaño, for making him learning many new things and improving his productivity. This paper is dedicated to him.

2. Preliminaries on $\mathcal{D}$-modules

2.1. **General theory.** In this subsection we will recall some notions and results from algebraic $\mathcal{D}$-module theory that will be useful in the rest of the text. We will state the results without a proof; anyway, those and much more can be found at [Bo], [HTT], [Ka3] or [Me1].

Let then X be an algebraic variety, and let $\mathcal{O}_X$ and $\mathcal{D}_X$ be its structure sheaf and the sheaf of differential operators on it, respectively. We will denote by $\mathrm{Mod}(\mathcal{D}_X)$ the abelian category of left $\mathcal{D}_X$-modules. We will denote by $\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(\mathcal{D}_X)$ the derived category of bounded complexes of $\mathcal{D}_X$-modules. We can also define the derived categories $\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathrm{c}}(\mathcal{D}_X)$, $\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathrm{h}}(\mathcal{D}_X)$ and $\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathrm{rh}}(\mathcal{D}_X)$ of complexes of $\mathcal{D}_X$-modules with coherent, holonomic and regular holonomic cohomologies, each of them being a full triangulated subcategory of the precedent. Whenever we talk about a complex of $\mathcal{D}_X$-modules, we will understand them as objects of the corresponding derived category, which will be clear from the context.

The categories of complexes of holonomic and regular holonomic $\mathcal{D}$-modules are stable by what is known of as Grothendieck's six operations, together with duality, satisfying certain adjunction isomorphisms ([Me1, II.9.2, II.9.3.1]). They are four direct and inverse images, the tensor product and the right derived usual Hom functor.

Let us fix the notation for them once and for all. Associated to a morphism of smooth varieties $f : X \to Y$ we will denote the usual direct and inverse image functors by f_+ and f^+. If we write as $\mathbb{D}_X$ the duality functor on X, the extraordinary direct and inverse images are $f_! := \mathbb{D}_Y f_+ \mathbb{D}_X$ and $f^! := \mathbb{D}_Y f^+ \mathbb{D}_X$, respectively. Next functor is tensor product, and we will use both internal and external ones. The first one is just the derived tensor product over the structure sheaf $\mathcal{O}_X$

$$\otimes^{\mathbf{L}}_{\mathcal{O}_X} : \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(\mathcal{D}_X) \times \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(\mathcal{D}_X) \to \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(\mathcal{D}_X).$$

The second one,

$$\boxtimes : \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(\mathcal{D}_X) \times \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(\mathcal{D}_Y) \to \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(\mathcal{D}_{X\times Y}),$$

is defined by $\mathcal{M} \boxtimes \mathcal{N} = \pi_1^+\mathcal{M} \otimes^{\mathbf{L}}_{\mathcal{O}_{X\times Y}} \pi_2^+\mathcal{N}$.

The tensor products behave under taking inverse and direct images in many different ways. The inverse image functor preserves both tensor products such that

$$f^+(\mathcal{M} \otimes^{\mathbf{L}}_{\mathcal{O}_Y} \mathcal{N}) \cong (f^+\mathcal{M} \otimes^{\mathbf{L}}_{\mathcal{O}_X} f^+\mathcal{N}),$$

and consequently by definition,

$$(f \times g)^+(\mathcal{M} \boxtimes \mathcal{N}) \cong (f^+\mathcal{M} \boxtimes g^+\mathcal{N}),$$

with $f : X \to X'$ and $g : Y \to Y'$ being two morphisms of smooth varieties. With respect to direct image functor, on the hand we have the relative Künneth formula

$$(f \times g)_+(\mathcal{M} \boxtimes \mathcal{N}) \cong f_+\mathcal{M} \boxtimes g_+\mathcal{N},$$

and on the other the projection formula

$$f_+\mathcal{M} \otimes^{\mathbf{L}}_{\mathcal{O}_Y} \mathcal{N} \cong f_+\left(\mathcal{M} \otimes^{\mathbf{L}}_{\mathcal{O}_X} f^+\mathcal{N}\right).$$

All of them hold within the category $\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathrm{c}}(\mathcal{D}_X)$.

Recall that every holonomic $\mathcal{D}$-module is both Noetherian and Artinian and then of finite length, so all of them admit a composition series. This allows us to define the semisimplification of a holonomic $\mathcal{D}$-module as the direct sum of all of its composition factors. It is well defined thanks to the Jordan-Hölder theorem.

Two important tools that we will use in the proofs towards our main result are the excision triangle and the smooth base change theorem. Let us state them a bit more properly:

Proposition 2.1. *Let X be a smooth algebraic variety, and let Z be a closed subvariety of it. Denote by $j : X - Z \to X$ and $i : Z \to X$ the embeddings. Then, for any $\mathcal{M} \in \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathrm{c}}(\mathcal{D}_X)$ we have the excision distinguished triangle in $\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(\mathcal{D}_X)$*

$$\mathbf{R}\Gamma_{[Z]}\mathcal{M} \longrightarrow \mathcal{M} \longrightarrow j_+j^+\mathcal{M},$$

where $\mathbf{R}\Gamma_{[Z]}$ is the algebraic local cohomology functor, defined as in [Me1, I.6]. If Z is smooth, then $\mathbf{R}\Gamma_{[Z]}\mathcal{M} \cong i_+i^+\mathcal{M}[-\operatorname{codim}_X Z]$.

Proposition 2.2 (**Smooth base change**)**.** *Let us consider the cartesian diagram of smooth varieties:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{g} & X' \\ {\scriptstyle f}\downarrow & \square & \downarrow{\scriptstyle f'} \\ Y & \xrightarrow[g']{} & Y' \end{array} .$$

Then for any $\mathcal{M} \in \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathrm{c}}(\mathcal{D}_X)$ we have a natural isomorphism in $\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(\mathcal{D}_X)$

$$g'^+f'_+\mathcal{M} \cong f_+g^+\mathcal{M}.$$

Let us now remind a third direct image functor, the middle or intermediate extension. Namely, let X be a smooth algebraic variety, and let $j : U \to X$ be the canonical inclusion of an open subvariety of it. If j is affine, for any holonomic $\mathcal{D}_U$-module $\mathcal{M}$ both direct images $j_!\mathcal{M}$ and $j_+\mathcal{M}$ are single holonomic $\mathcal{D}_X$-modules. We have that $j^+j_!\mathcal{M} \cong \mathcal{M}$, so by adjunction there exists a morphism of $\mathcal{D}_X$-modules $j_!\mathcal{M} \to j_+\mathcal{M}$. The intermediate extension of $\mathcal{M}$ is the image of the canonical morphism $j_!\mathcal{M} \to j_+\mathcal{M}$ and is denoted by $j_{!+}\mathcal{M}$. Note that, by construction, it commutes with duality.

Intermediate extension allows us to know better the irreducible object in the category of $\mathcal{D}$-modules. In fact, we have the following:

Proposition 2.3. *Let X be a smooth variety, and let $\mathcal{M}$ be a holonomic $\mathcal{D}_X$-module whose support is not contained in any closed subvariety of X. Then $\mathcal{M}$ is irreducible if and only if there exists an open subvariety $U \overset{j}{\hookrightarrow} X$ of it such that $j^+\mathcal{M}$ is an irreducible integrable connection on U and $\mathcal{M} \cong j_{!+}j^+\mathcal{M}$.*

We now restrict ourselves to the one-dimensional case and state a result concerning the middle extension of a $\mathcal{D}_X$-module and its Euler-Poincaré characteristic. Recall that, if X is a smooth algebraic variety of dimension n and let $\mathcal{M}$ is a complex of holonomic $\mathcal{D}_X$-modules, the Euler-Poincaré characteristic of $\mathcal{M}$ is

$$\chi(\mathcal{M}) = (-1)^n \sum_k (-1)^k \dim \mathcal{H}^k\pi_{X,+}\mathcal{M},$$

which is an additive function.

In fact, we need one more notion in order to formulate properly the next result, namely regularity. Let us focus here in the one-dimensional setting (cf. [Na, § 6, 7]), although it is in fact just a glimpse of the general theory of irregularity for $\mathcal{D}$-modules.

Definition 2.4. Let X be a smooth curve, and let $p \in X$ and $\mathcal{M}$ be a holonomic $\mathcal{D}_X$-module. Suppose that, choosing a local parameter x at p, we can write in local coordinates in an open neighborhood U of p that $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{D}_X/(L)$, being

$$L = \sum_{r=0}^{n} a_r(x)\partial^r \in \Gamma(U, \mathcal{D}_X).$$

Then the irregularity of $\mathcal{M}$ at p, denoted by $\mathrm{Irr}_p(\mathcal{M})$, is the positive integer number

$$\max_r \{r - \nu_p(a_r)\} - (n - \nu_p(a_n)),$$

$\nu_p(a)$ being the vanishing order of a at p.

For a general holonomic $\mathcal{D}_X$-module $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{D}/I$, since $\Gamma(U, \mathcal{D}_X)$ is a division ring, we can take a Gröbner basis of I, denoted by $\{L_1, \ldots, L_n\}$. Then the irregularity at p of $\mathcal{M}$ is the same as that of $\mathcal{D}/(L_1)$.

A holonomic $\mathcal{D}_X$-module is said to be regular at a point $p \in X$ if $\mathrm{Irr}_p(\mathcal{M}) = 0$. If for every $p \in \bar{X}$, $j_+\mathcal{M}$ is regular at p (j being the open immersion $X \hookrightarrow \bar{X}$), $\mathcal{M}$ is defined to be regular.

Proposition 2.5. (cf. [Ka3, 2.9.9]) *Let X and U be as in the previous corollary, let now j be the inclusion $U \hookrightarrow X$, and let $\mathcal{M}$ be a holonomic $\mathcal{D}_U$-module with no singularities. For any $p \in X - U$ define the integers*

$$\mathrm{drop}_p = \mathrm{rk}\,\mathcal{M} - \dim \mathit{Hom}(\mathcal{M}, \Bbbk((x_p))) \text{ , and}$$
$$\mathrm{totdrop}_p = \mathrm{Irr}_p(\mathcal{M}) + \mathrm{drop}_p,$$

where x_p is a formal parameter at p such that $\hat{\mathcal{O}_{X,p}} \cong \Bbbk[[x_p]]$. Then those numbers are non-negative and

$$\chi(j_{!+}\mathcal{M}) = \mathrm{rk}(\mathcal{M})\chi(\mathcal{O}_X) - \sum_{p \in \bar{X} - X} \mathrm{Irr}_p(\mathcal{M}) - \sum_{p \in X - U} \mathrm{totdrop}_p\,.$$

Another important functor in $\mathcal{D}$-module theory that will be really useful to us is Fourier transform (also called Fourier-Laplace transform). We will treat just the one-dimensional case.

Definition 2.6. Let $\mathcal{L}$ be the holonomic $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{A}^2}$-module $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{A}^2}/(\partial_x - y, \partial_y - x)$. The Fourier transform is a functor of $\mathrm{D^b}(\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{A}^1})$ to itself given by

$$\mathrm{FT} = \pi_{2,+}\left(\pi_1^+ \bullet \otimes^{\mathbf{L}}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}^2}} \mathcal{L}\right),$$

where the π_i are the canonical projections from $\mathbb{A}^2$ to each of its factors $\mathbb{A}^1$.

The Fourier transform preserves coherence over $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{A}^1}$ and holonomy, but not regular holonomy; it is an equivalence of categories when defined over the associated full triangulated subcategories of $\mathrm{D^b}(\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{A}^1})$ with the first two properties.

It is also an exact functor in the category of holonomic $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{A}^1}$-modules. In local coordinates, it just takes any operator $L = \sum_i f_i(x)\partial^i$ into $\mathrm{FT}(L) = \sum_i f_i(\partial)(-x)^i$, and $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{A}^1}/(L)$ into $\mathrm{FT}(\mathcal{M}) = \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{A}^1}/(\mathrm{FT}(L))$. Consequently, it exchanges the structure sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}^1}$ and the punctual $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{A}^1}$-module δ_0.

As we keep our way to gain more insight into one-dimensional $\mathcal{D}$-modules, we have to introduce another important concept. From now on, we will denote by D_x the product $x\partial_x$, omitting the variable as long as it is clear from the context.

Proposition 2.7 (**Formal Jordan decomposition lemma**). *Let X be an opne subset of the affine line. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a holonomic $\mathcal{D}_X$-module, let p be a point of X, and fix a formal parameter x at p such that $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{X,p} \cong \Bbbk[[x]]$. Assume that $\mathcal{M}$ is regular at p. Then,*

i) $\mathcal{M} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \Bbbk((x))$ *is the direct sum of regular indecomposable* $\Bbbk((x))[D]$*-modules.*

ii) Let us write

$$\mathrm{Loc}(\alpha, n_\alpha) := \Bbbk((x))[D]/(D-\alpha)^{n_\alpha}.$$

Then, for any two $\Bbbk((x))[D]$*-modules* $\mathrm{Loc}(\alpha, n_\alpha)$ *and* $\mathrm{Loc}(\beta, n_\beta)$*, and* $i = 0, 1$*, the vector space* $\mathrm{Ext}^i_{\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathrm{Loc}(\alpha, n_\alpha), \mathrm{Loc}(\beta, n_\beta))$ *has dimension* $\min(n_\alpha, n_\beta)$ *if* $\alpha - \beta \in \mathbb{Z}$*, being zero otherwise.*

iii) Any regular indecomposable $\Bbbk((x))[D]$*-module is isomorphic to*

$$\mathrm{Loc}(\alpha, n_\alpha) := \Bbbk((x))[D]/(D-\alpha)^{n_\alpha},$$

for a unique pair $(\alpha, n_\alpha) \in \Bbbk/\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$*.*

iv) Given $\alpha \in \Bbbk$*, the number of indecomposables of type* $\mathrm{Loc}(\alpha, m)$ *at the decomposition of* $\mathcal{M} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \Bbbk((x))$ *is the dimension of the vector space* $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{M}, \mathrm{Loc}(\alpha, 1))$*.*

Proof. See [Ka3, 2.11.8] or [Ca2, 2.6], for two different kinds of proof. □

This proposition shows that the equivalence classes modulo $\mathbb{Z}$ of the numbers α appearing in the decomposition of the tensor product of a holonomic $\mathcal{D}_X$-module with $\Bbbk((x))$, and their associated n_α, are intrinsic to the $\mathcal{D}_X$-module and quite important, actually, to know its behaviour at a point, so that motivates us the following definition.

Definition 2.8. Let $\mathcal{M}$, p and x as above. The exponents of $\mathcal{M}$ at p are the values α_i such that

$$(\mathcal{M} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \Bbbk((x)))_{\text{slope}=0} \cong \bigoplus_i \mathrm{Loc}(\alpha_i, n_i),$$

seen as elements of $\Bbbk/\mathbb{Z}$. For each exponent α_i we define its multiplicity to be n_i.

2.2. **Hypergeometric $\mathcal{D}$-modules.** In this subsection we study hypergeometric $\mathcal{D}$-modules, studying their basic properties, their parameters and their isomorphism classes, ending by characterizing such a $\mathcal{D}$-module by them. Almost any result stated here is inspired or directly equal to some other of [Ka3, § 3]. In fact, apart from Katz's superb reference, we have not found in the literature an algebraic approach to hypergeometric $\mathcal{D}$-modules as his. To make the notation coherent with that of the next chapter, we will denote by λ the parameter at the origin, instead of x. Before going on, let us digress a little.

Definition 2.9. A Kummer $\mathcal{D}$-module is the quotient $\mathcal{K}_\alpha = \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{G}_m}/(D-\alpha)$, for any $\alpha \in \Bbbk$.

Proposition 2.10. *Let* $\mathcal{M}$ *be a holonomic* $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{G}_m}$*-module. Its Euler-Poincaré characteristic is zero if and only if its composition factors are Kummer* $\mathcal{D}$*-modules.*

Proof. By corollary 2.5, the Euler-Poincaré characteristic of a holonomic $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{G}_m}$-module is never positive, and it is additive, so we just need to show the equivalence when $\mathcal{M}$ is irreducible.

If $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{K}_\alpha$, since it has no singularities at $\mathbb{G}_m$ we can apply Deligne's formula. $\mathcal{M}$ has a regular singularity both at zero and infinity, so $\chi(\mathcal{M}) = 0$.

Suppose then that $\chi(\mathcal{M}) = 0$. In this case, by proposition 2.3, we have that $\mathcal{M}$, being irreducible, coincides with $j_{!+}j^+\mathcal{M}$, for any open subvariety $U \overset{j}{\hookrightarrow} \mathbb{G}_m$ of $\mathbb{G}_m$ in which $\mathcal{M}$ is an integrable connection. Then we can apply again corollary 2.5. Since $\chi(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{G}_m}) = 0$, we have necessarily that all the singularities of $\mathcal{M}$ are regular, and $\mathrm{drop}_p \mathcal{M} = 0$ at every point of $\mathbb{G}_m - U$, so $\mathcal{M}$ is an integrable connection on $\mathbb{G}_m$. Therefore there exists a square matrix A of elements of $\Bbbk[\lambda^{\pm}]$ of order r such that $\mathcal{M} \cong \mathcal{D}^r_{\mathbb{G}_m}/(D-A)$. Now we can apply to this connection the theorem of the cyclic vector [DGS, III.4.2] to obtain that $\mathcal{M}$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{G}_m}/(P(\lambda, D))$, with P being a nonconstant polynomial of $\Bbbk[y^{\pm}, t]$. (Note that although the

proof of the theorem of the cyclic vector requires the connection to be defined over a function field $\Bbbk(X)$, it only actually needs that X is invertible, as in our case, providing a global proof in $\mathbb{G}_m$.) Now $\mathcal{M}$ has a regular singularity at zero, so the degree in λ of the coefficients of P cannot be negative (cf. [De, 1.1.2]). Taking $\mu = \lambda^{-1}$, we have that $D_\mu = -D_\lambda$, so by the same argument, the order at μ of the coefficients of P must vanish too, and thus, P is a polynomial only in D. Since $\Bbbk$ is algebraically closed and $\mathcal{M}$ is irreducible, $\deg P(D) = 1$, for if it were greater we would have a composition factor of $\mathcal{M}$ consisting of the quotient of $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{G}_m}$ by the left ideal generated by any factor of $P(D)$. In conclusion, $\mathcal{M} \cong \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{G}_m}/(D-\alpha)$. □

We have just characterized, up to semisimplification, the holonomic $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{G}_m}$-modules of Euler-Poincaré characteristic zero. The next step should be wondering about those of characteristic -1. That simple and apparently easy question will accompany us for the rest of this subsection, and will be crucial in the future.

What we can claim right know is that the composition factors of any holonomic $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{G}_m}$-module of Euler-Poincaré characteristic -1 will be a finite amount of Kummer $\mathcal{D}$-modules and an irreducible $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{G}_m}$-module of characteristic -1, which can be a delta $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{G}_m}$-module supported at any point of $\mathbb{G}_m$. Therefore, we have to be more precise in our search and look for the irreducible nonpunctual holonomic $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{G}_m}$-modules of characteristic -1.

Definition 2.11. Let $(n,m) \neq (0,0)$ be a couple of nonnegative integers, and let $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ and $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_m$, respectively, n and m elements of $\Bbbk$ and $\gamma \in \Bbbk^*$. The hypergeometric $\mathcal{D}$-module associated with γ, the α_i and the β_j is defined as the quotient of $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{G}_m}$ with the ideal generated by the so called hypergeometric operator

$$\gamma \prod_{i=1}^{n}(D-\alpha_i) - \lambda \prod_{j=1}^{m}(D-\beta_j).$$

We will denote it by $\mathcal{H}_\gamma(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_m)$, or in an abridged way, $\mathcal{H}_\gamma(\alpha_i; \beta_j)$.

Remark 2.12. The excluded type $(n,m) = (0,0)$ corresponds to punctual delta $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{G}_m}$-modules on $\mathbb{G}_m$, since

$$\mathcal{H}_\gamma(\emptyset;\emptyset) = \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{G}_m}/(\gamma - \lambda).$$

Let inv be the inversion operator in $\mathbb{G}_m$. It is easy to check the following identities:

- $\mathcal{H}_\gamma(\alpha_i;\beta_j) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{G}_m}} \mathcal{K}_\eta \cong \mathcal{H}_\gamma(\alpha_i+\eta;\beta_j+\eta)$.
- $h_{\eta,+}\mathcal{H}_\gamma(\alpha_i;\beta_j) \cong h^+_{\eta^{-1}}\mathcal{H}_\gamma(\alpha_i;\beta_j) \cong \mathcal{H}_{\gamma\eta}(\alpha_i;\beta_j)$.
- $\mathrm{inv}_+\,\mathcal{H}_\gamma(\alpha_i;\beta_j) \cong \mathrm{inv}^+\,\mathcal{H}_\gamma(\alpha_i;\beta_j) \cong \mathcal{H}_{(-1)^{n+m}/\gamma}(-\beta_j;-\alpha_i)$.
- $\mathbb{D}\mathcal{H}_\gamma(\alpha_i;\beta_j) \cong \mathcal{H}_{(-1)^{n+m}\gamma}(-\alpha_i;-1-\beta_j)$.

Proposition 2.13. *The Euler-Poincaré characteristic of any hypergeometric $\mathcal{D}$-module $\mathcal{H} := \mathcal{H}_\gamma(\alpha_i;\beta_j)$ is -1.*

If $n \neq m$, it has no singularities on $\mathbb{G}_m$. If $n > m$ (resp. $m > n$), it has a regular singularity at the origin (resp. infinity) and an irregular singularity at infinity (resp. the origin) of irregularity one.

If $n = m$, $\mathcal{H}$ is regular, with singularities only at the origin, the point at infinity and γ, where the Jordan decomposition of its local monodromy (of its exponents) is a pseudoreflection, that is, the space of formal meromorphic solutions $\mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{H}, \Bbbk((x_\gamma)))$ *is $(n-1)$-dimensional. Moreover, $\mathcal{H} \cong j_{!+}j^+\mathcal{H}$, j being the inclusion of $\mathbb{G}_m - \{\gamma\}$ into $\mathbb{G}_m$, unless its composition factors are a punctual delta $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{G}_m}$-module supported at γ and some Kummer $\mathcal{D}$-modules.*

Proof. The first line of the statement can be deduced from applying [Ka3, 2.9.13] to the hypergeometric differential operator. If $n \neq m$, it is obvious that there are not singular points within

$\mathbb{G}_m$. The rest is just a consequence of proposition 2.5, taking $U = X = \mathbb{G}_m$. If $n = m$, unless its singularity at γ comes from a punctual composition factor, from [*ibid.*, 2.9.1] we know that $\mathcal{H}$ is the middle extension of its restriction to any open subset of $\mathbb{G}_m - \{gamma\}$. Then, we can apply again proposition 2.5, noting that $\operatorname{Irr}_\gamma \mathcal{H} = 0$ and $\operatorname{drop}_\gamma \mathcal{H} > 0$. □

According to the proposition, any hypergeometric $\mathcal{D}$-module is of Euler-Poincaré characteristic -1 and its behaviour at $\mathbb{P}^1$ can be quite understood from its parameters. This phenomenon is much deeper and in the following results we are going to show it.

Proposition 2.14 (**Irreducibility**). (cf. [Ka3, 2.11.9, 3.2]) *Let $\mathcal{H} := \mathcal{H}_\gamma(\alpha_i; \beta_j)$ be a hypergeometric $\mathcal{D}$-module. It is irreducible if and only if for any pair (i, j) of indexes, $\alpha_i - \beta_j$ is not an integer.*

Proposition 2.15 (**Exponents**). ([Ka3, 3.2.2]) *Let $\mathcal{H} := \mathcal{H}_\gamma(\alpha_i; \beta_j)$ be an irreducible hypergeometric $\mathcal{D}$-module of type (n, m), and fix a fundamental domain I of $\Bbbk/\mathbb{Z}$. Then,*

i) The Jordan decomposition of the regular part of $\mathcal{H}$ at the origin is

$$\mathcal{H} \otimes \Bbbk((\lambda))_{\mathrm{reg}} \cong \bigoplus_{\alpha \in I} \Bbbk((\lambda))[D]/(D - \alpha)^{n_\alpha},$$

where n_α is the amount of α_i congruent to α modulo $\mathbb{Z}$. If $n \geq m$, each exponent occurs just at one Jordan block.

ii) The Jordan decomposition of the regular part of $\mathcal{H}$ at the point at infinity is

$$\mathcal{H} \otimes \Bbbk((1/\lambda)) \cong \bigoplus_{\beta \in I} \Bbbk((1/\lambda))[D]/(D - \beta)^{n_\beta},$$

where n_β is the number of β_i congruent to β modulo $\mathbb{Z}$. If $m \geq n$, each exponent occurs at a single Jordan block.

Proposition 2.16 (**Rigidity**). (cf. [Ka3, 3.5.4, 3.7.3]) *Let $\mathcal{M}_1$ and $\mathcal{M}_2$ be two irreducible regular holonomic $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{G}_m}$-modules of generic rank $n \geq 1$ and Euler-Poincaré characteristic -1 such that the set of exponents at zero and infinity with multiplicities are the same. Then, $\mathcal{M}_1$ and $\mathcal{M}_2$ are isomorphic as $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{G}_m}$-modules.*

Proposition 2.17 (**Isomorphism class**). ([Ka3, 3.3]) *Let $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_\gamma(\alpha_i; \beta_j)$ be a hypergeometric $\mathcal{D}$-module of type (n, m). Its isomorphism class as $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{G}_m}$-module determines n and m, the set of all of the α_i and β_j modulo $\mathbb{Z}$, and if either $\mathcal{H}$ is irreducible or $n = m$, the point γ.*

This proposition shows that in the regular or the irreducible case, all the parameters of a hypergeometric $\mathcal{D}$-module are intrinsic to it, so we could wonder about the converse of this statement, that is to say, in which way those parameters determine the $\mathcal{D}$-module.

Proposition 2.18. (cf. [Ka3, 3.7.1], [LS, Théorème 1]) *Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a nonpunctual irreducible holonomic $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{G}_m}$-module of Euler-Poincaré characteristic -1. Then $\mathcal{M}$ is a hypergeometric $\mathcal{D}$-module.*

3. Setting the problem

Once that we have introduced the objects to study and the tools to work with, let us keep on and start to work on the problem addressed in the paper.

Proposition 3.1. *$\mathcal{X}_{n,w}$ is a smooth quasi-projective variety. Denoting by γ_n the product $(d_n)^{-d_n} \prod_i w_i^{w_i}$, and by $p_n : \mathcal{X}_{n,w} \to \mathbb{A}^1$ the restriction to $\mathcal{X}_{n,w}$ of the second canonical projection, this morphism is smooth over the open subvariety $U_n = \left\{\lambda \in \mathbb{A}^1 \,|\, \gamma_n \lambda^{d_n} \neq 1\right\}$.*

Proof. Let us write, for the sake of simplicity, $x_0^{w_0} \cdot \ldots \cdot x_n^{w_n} = \underline{x}^w$. The partial derivatives of $x_0^{d_n} + \ldots + x_n^{d_n} - \lambda \underline{x}^w$ with respect to the x_i and λ are

$$\delta_i = d_n x_i^{d_n - 1} - \lambda w_i \underline{x}^{w - e_i} \text{ and } \delta_\lambda = -\underline{x}^w,$$

respectively. If $\delta_\lambda = 0$, then some x_i must vanish, and if, in addition, $\delta_i = 0$ for every i, all of the x_i will be zero, which is impossible. Therefore, $\mathcal{X}_{n,w}$ is smooth. Since at the singular points of the fibers of p_n we have that $x_i \neq 0$ for every i, we can multiply by them the partial derivatives δ_i, obtaining that $d_n x_i^{d_n} = -w_i \lambda \underline{x}^w$ for every i, so $w_0 x_i^{d_n} = w_i x_0^{d_n}$. But then, substituting the $x_i^{d_n}$ in the equation of $\mathcal{X}_{n,w}$, $(d_n/w_0) x_0^{d_n} = \lambda \underline{x}^w$. Taking d_n-th powers at each side, we get that $d_n^{d_n} = \lambda^{d_n} \prod_i w_i^{w_i}$, so if we do not have that equality, we will find ourselves at a nonsingular fibre. □

There exists an important subgroup of the group of automorphisms of $\mathcal{X}_{n,w}$, being the one which will provide us our main object of study. Let

$$\mu_{d_n}^0 = \left\{ (\zeta_0, \ldots, \zeta_n) \in \mu_{d_n}^{n+1} \middle| \prod_{i=0}^n \zeta_i^{w_i} = 1 \right\},$$

and let $G = \mu_{d_n}^0 / \Delta$, the quotient of $\mu_{d_n}^0$ by the diagonal subgroup, acting linearly over $\mathcal{X}_{n,w}$ by componentwise multiplication on the projective variables.

Since G is a finite group, $\mathcal{X}_{n,w}/G$ is another projective variety, isomorphic to

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{rcl} x_0 + \ldots + x_n & = & \lambda x_{n+1} \\ x_0^{w_0} \cdot \ldots \cdot x_n^{w_n} & = & x_{n+1}^{d_n} \end{array} \right. \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+1} \times \mathbb{A}^1.$$

Substituting in the second equation the value of x_{n+1} given by the first one, and taking $x_0 = 1$, we find that $\mathcal{X}_{n,w}/G$ is the projective closure of

$$\mathcal{Y}_{n,w} : x_1^{w_1} \cdot \ldots \cdot x_n^{w_n} (\lambda - x_1 - \ldots - x_n)^{w_0} = 1 \subset \mathbb{G}_m^n \times \mathbb{A}^1 = \operatorname{Spec}\left(\Bbbk \left[x_1^{\pm}, \ldots, x_n^{\pm}, \lambda \right] \right).$$

In this sense we will write $\bar{\mathcal{Y}}_{n,w}$ for $\mathcal{X}_{n,w}/G$.

Let $\mathcal{Z}_{n,w}$ be the following variety:

$$x_1^{w_1} \cdot \ldots \cdot x_n^{w_n} \cdot (1 - x_1 - \ldots - x_n)^{w_0} = \lambda \subset \mathbb{A}^n \times \mathbb{A}^1 = \operatorname{Spec}\left(\Bbbk \left[x_1, \ldots, x_n, \lambda \right] \right).$$

When the context is clear, we will omit the $(n+1)$-uple w from $\mathcal{Z}_{n,w}$.

Then if, abusing a bit of the notation, we denote by p_n every restriction of the second canonical projections, we can form the following cartesian diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{Y}_{n,w} - p_n^{-1}(0) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\alpha}_n} & \mathcal{Z}_{n,w} - p_n^{-1}(0) \\ {\scriptstyle p_n}\downarrow & \square & \downarrow{\scriptstyle p_n} \\ \mathbb{G}_m & \xrightarrow{\iota_n} & \mathbb{G}_m \end{array},$$

where $\tilde{\alpha}_n(\underline{x}, \lambda) = \left((x_1/\lambda, \ldots, x_n/\lambda), \lambda^{-d_n} \right)$ (note that it is the restriction of an endomorphism of $\mathbb{G}_m^{n+1}$) and $\iota_n(z) = z^{-d_n}$.

Proposition 3.2. *The families $\mathcal{Y}_{n,w}$ and $\mathcal{Z}_{n,w}$ are smooth, while their projective closures are not. The projections p_n from $\mathcal{Y}_{n,w}$ and $\mathcal{Z}_{n,w}$ are smooth, respectively, over the open subvarieties U_n and $\mathbb{G}_m - \{\gamma_n\}$.*

Proof. $\mathcal{Y}_{n,w}$ and $\mathcal{Z}_{n,w}$ are smooth for being, respectively, a n-dimensional torus and a graph. However, their projective closure will have singularities at their sections at infinity independently of λ, because both of them are the cartesian product of the same arrangement of hyperplanes with $\mathbb{A}^1$.

Regarding the fibers of p_n, since the section at infinity of $\bar{\mathcal{Y}}_{n,w}$ is independent of λ, the singular fibers of $\mathcal{Y}_{n,w}$ will be over the same points of $\mathbb{A}^1$ as those of $\bar{\mathcal{Y}}_{n,w}$. Now, the quotient map from $\mathcal{X}_{n,w}$ to $\bar{\mathcal{Y}}_{n,w}$ is G-equivariant by definition, as well as p_n. Then the singular locus of $p_n : \bar{\mathcal{Y}}_{n,w} \to \mathbb{A}^1$ is the same as that of $p_n : \mathcal{X}_{n,w} \to \mathbb{A}^1$, which is U_n.

With respect to the fibers of $\mathcal{Z}_{n,w}$, note that $\tilde{\alpha}_n$ is an étale morphism outside of its section with equation $\lambda = 0$, where it is ramified. Therefore, $\mathcal{Z}_{n,w}$ will have nonsingular fibers on the image by ι_n of U_n except for the origin, that is to say, $\mathbb{G}_m - \{\gamma_n\}$. □

Remark 3.3. Our goal is to calculate the invariant part under the action of G of the Gauss-Manin cohomology of $\mathcal{X}_{n,w}$ relative to the parameter λ, or in other words, $\left(p_{n,+}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_{n,w}}\right)^G$. This must be understood as follows.

G acts linearly over $\mathcal{X}_{n,w}$, and in fact, over $\mathbb{P}^n \times \mathbb{A}^1$. Then for any G-equivariant $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{X}_{n,w}}$- or $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{P}^n\times\mathbb{A}^1}$-module (cf. [Kas, 3.1.3], noting that quasi-G-equivariance and G-equivariance coincide due to the finiteness of G) we have an action of the Lie algebra associated with G, which, by the latter being finite and abelian, it is the commutative group algebra $\Bbbk[G]$. In our case, $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_{n,w}}$ is a G-equivariant $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{X}_{n,w}}$-module, and since p_n is a G-equivariant morphism by definition, the direct image $p_{n,+}$ induces a G-equivariant structure on $p_{n,+}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_{n,w}}$ (cf. [Kas, p. 169]). In this sense, whenever we talk about the invariant part of a $\mathcal{D}$-module $\mathcal{M}$, we will understand its image by the functor $\mathrm{Hom}_{\Bbbk[G]}(\Bbbk, \mathcal{M})$.

In fact, what we are really interested in is the nonconstant part of $\left(p_{n,+}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_{n,w}}\right)^G$, that is, everything which is not a successive extension of structure sheaves, and we can actually restrict ourselves to an affine context in order to find it:

Theorem 3.4. *Let $\bar{K}_n = p_{n,+}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}_{n,w}}$. There exists a canonical morphism of the complexes of $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{A}^1}$-modules $\left(p_{n,+}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_{n,w}}\right)^G \longrightarrow \bar{K}_n$ such that the cohomologies of its cone are direct sums of copies of the structure sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}^1}$.*

Proof. Let us see $\bar{\mathcal{Y}}_{n,w}$ as a quasi-projective variety in $\mathbb{P}^n\times\mathbb{A}^1$ and call $\mathcal{M} := \mathbf{R}\Gamma_{[\bar{\mathcal{Y}}_{n,w}]}\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n\times\mathbb{A}^1}[1]$. Let $\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{X}}$ and $\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{Y}}$ be the ideals of definition of $\mathcal{X}_{n,w}$ and $\mathcal{X}_{n,w}/G$, respectively. The action of G can be easily extended to $\mathbb{P}^n \times \mathbb{A}^1$, and seen in that way, the invariant part under the action of G of the rings $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n\times\mathbb{A}^1}/\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{X}}^k$ is, by construction, $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n\times\mathbb{A}^1}/\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{Y}}^k$ (cf. [Ha, p. 127]). Since we are working with a finite abelian group and sheaves of $\Bbbk$-vector spaces, we can claim thanks to Maschke's theorem that the functor $\bullet^G = \mathrm{Hom}_{\Bbbk[G]}(\Bbbk, \bullet)$ is exact.

Furthermore; G is finite, and thus isomorphic to the product of some cyclic groups. Then the invariant part of a sheaf of $\Bbbk$-vector spaces is the kernel of the product of the linear maps $\varphi_{a_i} - \mathrm{id}$, the a_i and φ_{a_i} being the generators of G and their associated actions on the sheaf. Since $\bullet^G$ is a kernel and an exact functor, it commutes with derived functors of left exact ones. In particular, so it does with $\mathbf{R}\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\bullet, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n\times\mathbb{A}^1})$, and then the invariant part of $\mathbf{R}\Gamma_{[\mathcal{X}_{n,w}]}\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n\times\mathbb{A}^1}[1]$ under the action of G must be $\mathcal{M}$.

Now note that $p_{n,+}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_{n,w}} \cong p_{n,+}\mathbf{R}\Gamma_{[\mathcal{X}_{n,w}]}\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n\times\mathbb{A}^1}[1]$, because $\mathcal{X}_{n,w}$ is smooth. If we prove that taking invariants and direct image by p_n commute, then we will have that $\left(p_{n,+}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_{n,w}}\right)^G = p_{n,+}\mathcal{M}$.

The morphism p_n is a projection, so the functor $p_{n,+}$ is the image by $\mathbf{R}p_{n,*}$ of the relative de Rham complex DR_{p_n} shifted $n-1$ degrees to the left. By the same reasons as in the first paragraph, $\mathbf{R}p_{n,*}$ commutes with $\bullet^G$. The relative de Rham complex is a complex of sheaves of $\Bbbk$-vector spaces whose objects are $\mathcal{N}\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n\times\mathbb{A}^1}}\Omega^i_{\mathbb{P}^n\times\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{A}^1}$ for some $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{P}^n\times\mathbb{A}^1}$-module $\mathcal{N}$. The connecting morphisms are $\Bbbk$-linear, and then G-equivariant. Since locally the differential modules are isomorphic to a direct sum of copies of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n\times\mathbb{A}^1}$, its objects will be locally isomorphic

to the direct sum of copies of $\mathcal{N}$. Consequently, $\bullet^G$ and DR_{p_n} will commute as well, and then, $\left(p_{n,+}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_{n,w}}\right)^G = p_{n,+}\mathcal{M}$.

Let now $\mathcal{Y}^\infty_{n,w}$ be the intersection of the hyperplane at infinity with $\bar{\mathcal{Y}}_{n,w}$ and denote by $i : \mathbb{P}^{n-1}\times\mathbb{A}^1 \to \mathbb{P}^n\times\mathbb{A}^1$ and $j : \mathbb{A}^n\times\mathbb{A}^1 \to \mathbb{P}^n\times\mathbb{A}^1$ the canonical immersions. We have the associated excision distinguished triangle

$$p_{n,+}\mathbf{R}\Gamma_{[\mathbb{P}^{n-1}\times\mathbb{A}^1]}\mathcal{M} \longrightarrow p_{n,+}\mathcal{M} \longrightarrow p_{n,+}j^+\mathcal{M},$$

where we can take $\mathbf{R}\Gamma_{[\mathbb{P}^{n-1}\times\mathbb{A}^1]}\mathcal{M} \cong i_+i^+\mathcal{M}$ thanks to $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}\times\mathbb{A}^1$ being smooth. Now, by [Me1, I.6.2.4, I.6.3.1],

$$\mathbf{R}\Gamma_{[\mathbb{P}^{n-1}\times\mathbb{A}^1]}\mathcal{M} \cong \mathbf{R}\Gamma_{[\mathcal{Y}^\infty_{n,w}]}\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n-1}\times\mathbb{A}^1}[1] \cong \pi_1^+\mathbf{R}\Gamma_{\left[\bar{A}\right]}\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n-1}}[1],$$

$\bar{A}$ being the projective arrangement of hyperplanes such that $\mathcal{Y}^\infty_{n,w}$ is the product $\bar{A}\times\mathbb{A}^1$. Then $p_{n,+}\mathbf{R}\Gamma_{[\mathbb{P}^{n-1}\times\mathbb{A}^1]}\mathcal{M}$ is the tensor product $\pi_{\mathbb{P}^{n-1},+}\mathbf{R}\Gamma_{\left[\bar{A}\right]}\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n-1}}[1] \otimes_{\Bbbk} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}^1}$, so it has constant cohomologies.

Finally, $j^+\mathcal{M} \cong \mathbf{R}\Gamma_{\mathcal{Y}_{n,w}}\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}^n\times\mathbb{A}^1}$. Since $\mathcal{Y}_{n,w}$ is smooth, $p_{n,+}j^+\mathcal{M} \cong \bar{K}_n$, so in the end we have a triangle

$$\left(p_{n,+}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_{n,w}}\right)^G \longrightarrow \bar{K}_n \longrightarrow p_{n,+}i_+i^+\mathcal{M},$$

the last complex being constant, and we are done. □

Remark 3.5. Since p_n is a proper smooth morphism and $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_{n,w}}$ is a pure $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{X}_{n,w}}$-module, thanks to [Sa, 4.5.3, 4.5.4] we know that $p_{n,+}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_{n,w}}$ is a semisimple complex of $\mathcal{D}^1_{\mathbb{A}}$-modules, that is, it is the direct sum of its cohomologies, them being in turn semisimple $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{A}^1}$-modules. Now note that $p_{n,+}\mathcal{M}$ is a direct summand of $p_{n,+}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_{n,w}}$, because the latter can be decomposed as the direct sum of its eigenspaces associated with the action of G, occurring the former as the invariant part, so in particular, it is a semisimple complex of $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{A}^1}$-modules, too.

In particular, the theorem tells us that the nonconstant part of $\left(p_{n,+}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_{n,w}}\right)^G$ is that of $\bar{K}_n$, which, because of being the former a semisimple complex of $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{A}^1}$-modules, coincides with the middle extension of its restriction to $\mathbb{G}_m$.

In this new context, we can state a more detailed theorem, but before doing so, let us introduce some more notation.

Let us denote by $(\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_n)$ and $(\beta_1,\dots,\beta_m)$ two unordered n- and m-uples, respectively, of elements from $\Bbbk$, *i.e.*, seen as elements of the n- and m-fold symmetric product of $\Bbbk$, respectively. We will define their cancelation, denoted by $\mathrm{cancel}(\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_n;\beta_1,\dots,\beta_m)$, as the result of eliminating from both tuples the elements that they share modulo $\mathbb{Z}$, obtaining shorter disjoint lists. In other words, assume that every α_i and β_j lie in the same fundamental domain of $\Bbbk/\mathbb{Z}$ and take

$$\mathrm{cancel}(\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_n;\beta_1,\dots,\beta_m) =$$
$$= \left((\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_n)-(\beta_1,\dots,\beta_n);(\beta_1,\dots,\beta_n)-(\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_n)\right),$$

where the subtractions remove only an element of the first tuple for each similar element of the second one. Note that the difference between the lengths of the resulting tuples is still the same, since we are taking from them the same amount of elements.

Let us state now our following main theorem, analogous to those of Katz and Kloosterman.

Theorem 3.6. *(cf. [Kl, 5.3], [Ka4, 5.3, 8.5]) Let j be the canonical inclusion from $\mathbb{G}_m$ to $\mathbb{A}^1$. Let $\mathcal{H}_n$ be the irreducible hypergeometric $\mathcal{D}$-module*

$$\mathcal{H}_{\gamma_n}\left(\mathrm{cancel}\left(\frac{1}{w_0},\dots,\frac{w_0}{w_0},\dots,\frac{1}{w_n},\dots,\frac{w_n}{w_n};\frac{1}{d_n},\dots,\frac{d_n}{d_n}\right)\right).$$

Under the same notations as above, the nonconstant part of $\left(p_{n,+}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_{n,w}}\right)^G$ *is* $j_{!+}\iota_n^+\mathcal{H}_n$.

Now for each n, let us denote by C_n the following set:

$$C_n = \left\{\frac{1}{w_0}, \dots, \frac{w_0}{w_0}, \dots, \frac{w_n}{w_n}\right\} \cap \left\{\frac{1}{d_n}, \dots, \frac{d_n}{d_n}\right\} - \{1\}.$$

Note that this set will be empty if and only if d_n is prime to each w_i.

As a matter of fact, we can be more precise and give more information $\bar{K}_n$:

Theorem 3.7. *There exists a complex of* $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{G}_m}$*-modules* K_n *such that* $j^+\bar{K}_n \cong \iota_n^+K_n$. *This complex satisfies the following:* $\mathcal{H}^i(K_n) = 0$ *if* $i \notin \{-(n-1), \dots, 0\}$, $\mathcal{H}^i(K_n) \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{G}_m}^{\binom{n}{i+n-1}}$ *as long as* $-(n-1) \leq i \leq -1$, *and in degree zero we have the exact sequence*

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}_n \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}^0(K_n) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{G}_m}^n \longrightarrow 0,$$

$\mathcal{G}_n$ *being a* $\mathcal{D}$*-module whose semisimplification is*

$$\mathcal{G}_n^{ss} = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in C_n} \mathcal{K}_\alpha \oplus \mathcal{F}_n.$$

There, $\mathcal{F}_n$ *is the irreducible hypergeometric* $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{G}_m}$*-module*

$$\mathcal{H}_{\gamma_n}\left(\text{cancel}\left(\frac{1}{w_0}, \dots, \frac{w_0}{w_0}, \dots, \frac{1}{w_n}, \dots, \frac{w_n}{w_n}; \frac{1}{d_n}, \dots, \frac{d_n}{d_n}\right)\right).$$

Thanks to a combination mainly of proposition 2.17 and proposition 2.18 we can characterize $\mathcal{G}_n$ as in the theorem if we prove that its Euler-Poincaré characteristic is -1, find its generic rank as $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{G}_m}$-module, calculate the exponents at the origin and infinity and know where in $\mathbb{G}_m$ it has a singularity. We will summarize this strategy in a more detailed way.

To prove theorem 3.7 we will see in an alternative way the preceding construction. Let λ_n be the morphism defined by

$$\begin{array}{rccc} \lambda_n : \mathbb{A}^n & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{A}^1 & \\ \underline{x} & \longmapsto & x_1^{w_1} \cdot \ldots \cdot x_n^{w_n} \cdot (1 - x_1 - \ldots - x_n)^{w_0} & . \end{array}$$

Let $Z_n = \lambda_n^{-1}(\mathbb{G}_m) = \{\underline{x} \in \mathbb{G}_m^n : x_1 + \ldots + x_n \neq 1\}$. Therefore, by the base change theorem, we can take $K_n = \lambda_{n,+}\mathcal{O}_{Z_n}$.

We will make use of the following inductive process. Let us factor λ_n through $\mathbb{G}_m^2$:

$$Z_n \xrightarrow{(\pi_n, \lambda_n)} \mathbb{G}_m^2 \xrightarrow{\pi_2} \mathbb{G}_m,$$

so that $K_n = \pi_{2,+}(\pi_n, \lambda_n)_+\mathcal{O}_{Z_n}$. We focus now in finding $L_n := (\pi_n, \lambda_n)_+\mathcal{O}_{Z_n}$.

Consider now the isomorphisms ϕ_n from $(\mathbb{G}_m - \{1\}) \times \mathbb{G}_m$ to itself given by $(z, \lambda) \mapsto (z, \lambda/(z^{w_n}(1-z)^{d_{n-1}}))$, and ψ_n from $Z_n - \{x_n = 1\}$ to $Z_{n-1} \times (\mathbb{G}_m - \{1\})$ given by $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \mapsto (x_1/(1-x_n), \cdots, x_{n-1}/(1-x_n), x_n)$. Those morphisms form the cartesian diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Z_n - \{x_n = 1\} & \xrightarrow{\psi_n} & Z_{n-1} \times (\mathbb{G}_m - \{1\}) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle (\pi_n, \lambda_n)} & \square & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi_2 \times \lambda_{n-1}\pi_1} \\ (\mathbb{G}_m - \{1\}) \times \mathbb{G}_m & \xrightarrow{\phi_n} & (\mathbb{G}_m - \{1\}) \times \mathbb{G}_m \end{array},$$

so by the base change theorem,

$$L_{n|(\mathbb{G}_m - \{1\}) \times \mathbb{G}_m} \cong (\pi_2\phi_n)^+K_{n-1}.$$

Let us obtain all the information about K_1 that we will make use of during the inductive process.

Lemma 3.8. *K_1 is a regular $\mathcal{D}$-module over $\mathbb{G}_m$ such that its generic rank is d_1 and it has a unique singularity at γ_1.*

Proof. Let $C = \lambda_1^{-1}(\gamma_1)$. Then, λ_1 is an étale morphism from $Z_1 - C$ to $\mathbb{G}_m - \{\gamma_1\}$ of degree d_1, so $\lambda_{1,+}\mathcal{O}_{Z_1-C}$ will actually be a unique $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{G}_m-\{\gamma_1\}}$-module; moreover, it will be a locally free $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{G}_m-\{\gamma_1\}}$-module of rank d_1, which will be the generic rank of K_1.

On the other hand, $\pi_{\mathbb{G}_m,+}K_1 = \pi_{\mathbb{G}_m-\{1\},+}\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{G}_m-\{1\}}$, so the Euler-Poincaré characteristic of K_1 will be equal to that of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{G}_m-\{1\}}$, which is -1. Therefore, thanks to the additivity of the characteristic and proposition 2.18, we will be able to find among the composition factors of K_1 some eventually trivial Kummer $\mathcal{D}$-modules and an irreducible hypergeometric $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{G}_m}$-module (punctual or not), and so its only singular point within $\mathbb{G}_m$ must be γ_1. □

One could wonder why the assumptions on the values of the exponents of the monomial $x_0^{w_0} \cdot \ldots \cdot x_n^{w_n}$. Let us see what would happen in that case.

The first condition that we could try to erase is that $\gcd(w_0, \ldots, w_n) = 1$. If not, there would be an integer d dividing all of the w_i, and then, G and $\mathcal{Y}_{n,w}$ would be the disjoint union of their irreducible components, all of them differing only by a d-th root of unity. Going downstairs to the context of $\mathcal{Z}_{n,w}$, we would have that

$$p_{n,+}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}_{n,w}} \cong \bigoplus_{\zeta\in\mu_d} h_{\zeta,+}p_{n,+}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}_{n,w/d}},$$

so in the end, $\bar{K}_n$ would be the direct sum of d copies of $p_{n,+}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}_{n,w/d}}$, reducing the calculation to the original setting.

So that case it is not quite important, but we could also consider the case in which for some $r \geq 0$ and every $i = 0, \ldots, r$ we had that $w_i = 0$ (and having that $\gcd(w_{r+1}, \ldots, w_n) = 1$). Under this assumption, the morphisms p_n from $\mathcal{X}_{n,w}$ and $\mathcal{Y}_{n,w}$ would be smooth in the whole of $\mathbb{A}^1$, and K_n would be the direct image of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{G}_m^n}$ by the morphism $\lambda_n(\underline{x}) = x_{r+1}^{w_{r+1}} \cdot \ldots \cdot x_n^{w_n}$. This context is already discussed in [Ca2, § 4], and we would still have that K_n is constant.

4. Inductive process

In this section we will move forward towards the proof of our main theorem 3.7, finding some of the desired properties of K_n. All of the proofs are inductive, and in fact, together with those of next section, can be thought of as a long proof divided into several pieces, each of them being at most a sentence of the statement of the theorem. Despite this interdependence of the propositions of this section, the reader will be able to check that no tautological circle appears. We will explain this in more detail.

For each n, the process of finding K_n depends on two inductive steps. Let, for each $n \geq 2$, $T_n = \left\{\underline{x} \in \mathbb{G}_m^{n-1} \,|\, x_1 + \ldots + x_{n-1} \neq 0\right\}$. Each T_n can be seen as a smooth closed subvariety of Z_n by the identification $T_n \cong T_n \times \{1\}$, and we will do that in what follows. From the diagram $Z_n - T_n \xrightarrow{j} Z_n \xleftarrow{i} T_n$ we can get the triangle

$$\mathcal{O}_{Z_n} \longrightarrow j_+j^+\mathcal{O}_{Z_n} \longrightarrow i_+i^+\mathcal{O}_{Z_n}.$$

Applying $(\pi_n, \lambda_n)_+$ to this triangle we get a new one:

$$(\pi_n, \lambda_n)_+\mathcal{O}_{Z_n} \longrightarrow (\pi_n, \lambda_n)_+j_+j^+\mathcal{O}_{Z_n} \longrightarrow (\pi_n, \lambda_n)_+i_+i^+\mathcal{O}_{Z_n}.$$

In other words, defining $M_n := \lambda_{n|T_n,+}\mathcal{O}_{T_n}$,

$$L_n \longrightarrow j_+(\pi_2\phi_n)^+K_{n-1} \longrightarrow i_+M_n,$$

where M_n tells us what we lose when doing induction over $(\mathbb{G}_m - \{1\}) \times \mathbb{G}_m$ instead of $\mathbb{G}_m^2$. We will calculate its expression later on. What we are interested in is noting that K_n depends

on K_{n-1} and M_n, for applying $\pi_{2,+}$ to the last triangle we obtain the one which is going to be useful for us:

$$K_n \longrightarrow \pi_{2,+}(\pi_2\phi_n)^+K_{n-1} \longrightarrow M_n.$$

In the next proposition we will see that our method to make M_n explicit depends only on K_{n-2}, and so the induction can be made correctly.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $w_0,\dots,w_n$ be an $(n+1)$-uple of positive integers, whose sum is d_n, and let $f = x_1^{w_1}\cdot\ldots\cdot x_n^{w_n}(x_1+\ldots+x_n)^{w_0}$. Then, the syzygies of the Jacobian ideal $J_f = (f, f'_1,\dots,f'_n) \subseteq \Bbbk[\underline{x}]$ are generated as a $\Bbbk[\underline{x}]$-submodule of $\Bbbk[\underline{x}]^{n+1}$ by the Euler relation $(-d_n, x_1,\dots,x_n)$ and the Koszul-like syzygies*

$$\frac{x_ix_j(x_1+\ldots+x_n)}{f}(f'_je_i - f'_ie_j), \text{ for all } 1\le i<j\le n.$$

Proof. For the sake of simplicity, let us write $\underline{x}^w$ and σ for $x_1^{w_1}\cdot\ldots\cdot x_n^{w_n}$ and $x_1+\ldots+x_n$, respectively, so that $f = \underline{x}^w\sigma^{w_0}$, and $l_i = w_i\sigma + w_0x_i$ for each $i=1,\dots,n$, and so $f'_i = \underline{x}^{w-e_i}\sigma^{w_0-1}l_i$.

f is a homogeneous polynomial of degree d_n, so the Euler syzygy appears naturally among the generators because of having its first component of degree zero. That is why we can restrict ourselves to finding the syzygies of $(f'_1,\dots,f'_n)$. Let $(a_1\dots,a_n)\in\Bbbk[\underline{x}]^n$ such that $\sum_i f'_ia_i = 0$, or in other words

$$\underline{x}^{w-\underline{1}}\sigma^{w_0-1}\sum_{i=1}^n a_i\underline{x}^{\underline{1}-e_i}l_i = 0.$$

This means that $(a_1l_1,\dots,a_nl_n)$ is a syzygy of the ideal $(\underline{x}^{\underline{1}-e_1},\dots,\underline{x}^{\underline{1}-e_n})$, so because of being the partial derivatives of a monomial, for each i, x_i must divide a_il_i, and thus there will exist n new polynomials $b_1,\dots,b_n$ such that $a_i = x_ib_i$ for every i for $(x_i,l_i)=1$. Therefore, $(b_1,\dots,b_n)$ is a syzygy of $(l_1,\dots,l_n)$, which is a regular sequence in $\Bbbk[\underline{x}]$, just because it is an isomorphic image of $(x_1,\dots,x_n)$. (Indeed, the matrix of the change of basis has a determinant equal to $w_0^{n-1}d_n$ by Sylvester's determinant theorem.) As a consequence, there exist $g_{(i,j)}\in\Bbbk[\underline{x}]$ for every couple $1\le i<j\le n$ such that

$$(b_1,\dots,b_n) = \sum_{1\le i<j\le n} g_{(i,j)}(l_je_i - l_ie_j),$$

and then,

$$(a_1,\dots,a_n) = \sum_{1\le i<j\le n} g_{(i,j)}\frac{x_ix_j\sigma}{f}(f'_je_i - f'_ie_j).$$

□

Proposition 4.2. *For each n, M_n is concentrated in degrees $-(n-2)$ to zero, $\mathcal{H}^i(M_n)\cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{G}_m}^{\binom{n-1}{i+n-2}}$ whenever $-(n-2)\le i\le -1$, and in degree zero,*

$$\mathcal{H}^0(M_n)\cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{G}_m}^{n-1}\oplus\bigoplus_{a=1}^{d_{n-1}-1}\mathcal{K}_{a/d_{n-1}}.$$

Proof. Let us define $f = x_1^{w_1}\cdot\ldots\cdot x_{n-1}^{w_{n-1}}(x_1+\ldots+x_{n-1})^{w_0}$. We are going to introduce some varieties associated with this polynomial. We will denote by $\mathcal{T}_n$ and $\mathcal{T}_n^{d_{n-1}}$ the subvarieties of $\mathbb{A}^{n-1}\times\mathbb{G}_m$ given by $f-\lambda=0$ (so that $M_n\cong p_{n,+}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{T}_n}$), and $f-\lambda^{d_{n-1}}=0$. We will set F to be the Milnor fiber of the morphism associated with f, namely, $F: f=1\subset\mathbb{A}^{n-1}$.

When $n=2$, $f=x_1^{d_1}$ and then $M_n\cong\bigoplus_{i=1}^{d_1}\mathcal{K}_{i/d_1}$, and we are done, so assume from now on that $n>2$.

Consider now the following cartesian diagrams:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} F\times\mathbb{G}_m & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & \mathcal{T}_n^{d_{n-1}} & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{A}^{n-1}}\times[d_{n-1}]} & \mathcal{T}_n \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi_2} & \square & \downarrow{\scriptstyle p_n} & \square & \downarrow{\scriptstyle p_n} \\ \mathbb{G}_m & \xrightarrow[\mathrm{id}]{} & \mathbb{G}_m & \xrightarrow[[d_{n-1}]]{} & \mathbb{G}_m \end{array},$$

where α is the isomorphism given by $(\underline{x},\lambda)\mapsto(x_1/\lambda,\dots,x_{n-1}/\lambda,\lambda)$. All the varieties involved are smooth, so by the base change theorem,

$$[d_{n-1}]^+M_n\cong p_{n,+}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{T}_n^{d_{n-1}}}\cong\pi_{2,+}\mathcal{O}_{F\times\mathbb{G}_m}\cong(\pi_{F,+}\times\mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{G}_m})_+(\mathcal{O}_F\boxtimes\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{G}_m})\cong\pi_{F,+}\mathcal{O}_F\otimes_{\Bbbk}\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{G}_m}.$$

That tells us that every cohomology of $[d_{n-1}]^+M_n$ is a direct sum of copies of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{G}_m}$, so for every degree i there exist some nonnegative integers c_i, some sets $S_i\subseteq\{1,\dots,d_{n-1}-1\}$, and some positive integers $m_{i,a}$ such that

$$\mathcal{H}^i(M_n)\cong\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{G}_m}^{c_i}\oplus\bigoplus_{a\in S_i}\mathcal{K}_{a/d_{n-1}}^{m_{i,a}}.$$

The global de Rham cohomology of M_n is that of T_n, which analogously to [Ca1, 5.2] can be computed to be

$$\bigoplus_{i=-(n-1)}^{-1}\Bbbk^{\binom{n}{i+n-1}}[-i]\oplus\Bbbk^{n-1}[0].$$

Then the constant part of the cohomology of M_n must be concentrated in degrees $-(n-2),\dots,0$. Moreover, from the calculation of the global de Rham cohomology of M_n we deduce that $c_{-(n-2)}=1$, $c_0=n-1$ and $c_i+c_{i+1}=\binom{n}{i+n-1}$ for every $i=-(n-2),\dots,-1$. Therefore, $c_i=\binom{n-1}{i+n-2}$. We only have to prove that $|S_i|=0$ for all $i<0$ and that S_0 is $\{1,\dots,d_{n-1}-1\}$, with all of the $m_{0,a}=1$.

Consider a new cartesian diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T_n & \xrightarrow{(\mu,\pi_{n-1})} & Z_{n-2}\times\mathbb{G}_m \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \lambda_n\times\pi_{n-1}} & \square & \downarrow{\scriptstyle(\lambda_{n-2},\pi_{n-1})} \\ \mathbb{G}_m^2 & \xrightarrow[\psi]{} & \mathbb{G}_m^2 \end{array},$$

where μ and ψ are the isomorphisms given by, respectively, $\underline{x}\mapsto(-x_1/x_{n-1},\dots,-x_{n-1}/x_{n-1})$ and $(\lambda,z)\mapsto((-1)^{d_n-w_0}\lambda z^{-d_{n-1}},z)$. We can affirm that $\mathcal{H}^i\pi_{\mathbb{G}_m,+}\left(M_n\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{G}_m}}\mathcal{K}_\alpha\right)=0$ for $i<-1$ and any non-integer rational number $\alpha=k/d_{n-1}$, if and only if $S_i=\emptyset$ for $i<0$. By using the base change theorem associated with the diagram above and the projection formula,

$$\pi_{\mathbb{G}_m,+}\left(M_n\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{G}_m}}\mathcal{K}_\alpha\right)\cong\pi_{\mathbb{G}_m,+}\pi_{1,+}\left((\pi_1\psi)^+K_{n-2}\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{G}_m^2}}\pi_1^+\mathcal{K}_\alpha\right).$$

We know that $\mathcal{H}^j\pi_{\mathbb{G}_m,+}\left(M_n\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{G}_m}}\mathcal{K}_\alpha\right)$ depends on the cohomologies of $(\pi_1\psi)^+K_{n-2}\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{G}_m^2}}\pi_1^+\mathcal{K}_\alpha$ at degrees j, $j+1$ and $j+2$. When $j<-2$, since all of them are copies of $\pi_1^+\mathcal{K}_\alpha$ (for having K_{n-2} only copies of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{G}_m}$ at the affected degrees), their images by $\pi_{\mathbb{G}_m,+}$ vanish, having that $|S_i|=0$ for any $i\leq-2$.

Apart from we have noted above, to prove that S_{-1} is the empty set we just need to focus in showing that $\mathcal{H}^{-2}\pi_{\mathbb{G}_m^2,+}\left((\pi_1\psi)^+\mathcal{M}\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{G}_m^2}}\pi_1^+\mathcal{K}_\alpha\right)=0$, for any $\mathcal{D}$-module $\mathcal{M}$ such that its

semisimplification is the same than that of $\mathcal{G}_{n-2}$. We can take, for instance, the (maybe reducible) hypergeometric $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{G}_m}$-module

$$\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{H}_{\gamma_{n-2}}\left(\frac{1}{w_0}, \dots, \frac{w_0}{w_0}, \dots, \frac{w_{n-2}-1}{w_{n-2}}; \frac{1}{d_{n-2}}, \dots, \frac{d_{n-2}-1}{d_{n-2}}\right).$$

Then it is easy to see that $(\pi_1\psi)^+\mathcal{M} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{G}_m^2}} \pi_1^+\mathcal{K}_\alpha \cong \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{G}_m^2}/(P_\alpha, \partial_z) =: \mathcal{M}_\alpha$, with

$$P_\alpha = \gamma_{n-2}(D_\lambda - \alpha)^{n-2}\prod_{i=0}^{n-2}\prod_{j=1}^{w_i-1}(D_\lambda - j/w_i - \alpha) - (-1)^{d_n-w_0}\lambda z^{-d_{n-1}}\prod_{k=1}^{d_{n-2}-1}(D_\lambda - k/d_{n-2} - \alpha).$$

Summing up, we have to see that the kernel of (D_λ, ∂_z) at $\Bbbk[\lambda^\pm, z^\pm][D_\lambda, \partial_z]/(P_\alpha, \partial_z)$ is zero.

Since we are dividing by ∂_z, every element of the above $\mathcal{D}$-module can be written as $a = \sum_{i=0}^N a_i D_\lambda^i$, with every coefficient $a_i \in \Bbbk[\lambda^\pm, z^\pm]$. Let us show that we can take $N = d_{n-2} - 2$. Indeed, let $N \geq d_{n-2} - 1$. Dividing again by ∂_z, we have that

$$D_\lambda \cdot a = \sum_{i=0}^N D_\lambda(a_i)D_\lambda^i + \sum_{i=0}^N a_i D_\lambda^{i+1}.$$

Since $D_\lambda a$ must belong to (P_α, ∂_z), we can take the symbols with respect to D_λ of both elements and deduce that

$$a_N = x\left(\gamma_{n-2} - (-1)^{d_n-w_0}\lambda z^{-d_{n-1}}\right)$$

for some $x \in \Bbbk[\lambda^\pm, z^\pm]$. Then we can write a as the sum $a' + xD_\lambda^{N-d_{n-2}+1}P_\alpha$, where $\deg_{D_\lambda} a' < N$. In addition, we have that $D_\lambda a$ belongs to the ideal (P_α, ∂_z) if and only if $D_\lambda a'$ does.

Let then $a = \sum_{i=0}^{d_{n-2}-2} a_i D_\lambda^i$, and suppose that $D_\lambda a \in (P_\alpha, \partial_z)$. Doing the same calculation as before, we deduce that there will exist an x belonging to $\Bbbk[\lambda^\pm, z^\pm]$ such that

$$a_{d_{n-2}-2} = x\left(\gamma_{n-2} - (-1)^{d_n-w_0}\lambda z^{-d_{n-1}}\right).$$

Since $\deg_{D_\lambda} D_\lambda a = d_{n-2} - 1$, we necessarily have that $D_\lambda a = xP_\alpha$. On the other hand, note that $\partial_z \cdot a = \sum_{i=}^{d_{n-2}-2} \partial_z(a_i)D_\lambda^i$ must belong to the left ideal (P_α, ∂_z); looking at its degree in D_λ and ∂_z it is nothing but zero. Therefore, $a_{d_{n-2}-2}$ is a constant, so, taking into account its expression above, must vanish. This would imply, if possible, that a has degree at most $d_{n-2} - 3$ in D_λ. However, since $D_\lambda a \in (P_\alpha, \partial_z)$, $a_{d_{n-2}-3} = 0$ again. Repeating this process with every remaining coefficient of a gives us that $a = 0$, and in the end, $\mathcal{H}^{-2}\pi_{\mathbb{G}_m^2,+}\left((\pi_1\psi)^+\mathcal{G}_{n-2} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{G}_m^2}} \pi_1^+\mathcal{K}_\alpha\right) = 0$, as desired.

Note now that the restriction of μ to F, given by $\underline{x} \mapsto (-x_1/x_{n-1}, \dots, -x_{n-2}/x_{n-1})$, is an étale map of degree d_{n-1}, so the Euler-Poincaré characteristic of $\pi_{F,+}\mathcal{O}_F$, which equals $(-1)^{n-2}(1 + |S_0|)$, is $d_{n-1}(-1)^{n-2}$ times that of K_{n-2}, which is in turn 1, so $|S_0| = d_{n-1} - 1$. We will be done if we prove that all of the exponents at the origin k/d_{n-1} of $\mathcal{H}^0 M_n$ occur with the same multiplicity.

In order to do that, we will apply proposition [Ca2, 1.1], and try to study the surjectivity of the map $\Phi = (f - t, \partial_1 + f_1'\varphi_\alpha, \dots, \partial_{n-1} + f_{n-1}'\varphi_\alpha) : R^n \to R$ for non-integer values of α, where $R = \Bbbk((t))[x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}]$. To see that we can assume, without loss of generality, that we take $c \in R$, homogeneous in the x_i of degree $m \geq 0$, and $a, b^1, \dots, b^{n-1} \in R$, homogeneous too of degrees $m, m+1, \dots, m+1$, respectively, such that $\Phi(a, b^1, \dots, b^{n-1}) = c$. For the sake of simplicity, we will use $\varphi_\alpha := \partial_t + \alpha t^{-1}$, since the map $x \mapsto -1 - x$ is a bijection modulo the

integers on $\{1/d_{n-1}, \dots, (d_{n-1}-1)/d_{n-1}\}$. Then what we are assuming reads

$$\begin{cases} fa + \sum_i f_i' \varphi_\alpha b^i &= 0 \\ -ta + \sum_i b_i^{i\prime} &= c \end{cases}$$

Thanks to the previous lemma, from the first equation we know that there exist homogeneous polynomials $F, g_{(i,j)} \in R$ for every $1 \leq i < j \leq n$, of respective degrees m and $m-1$ in the x_i (so that the $g_{(i,j)}$ must be zero if $m = 0$), such that

$$\begin{aligned} a &= -d_{n-1}F \\ \varphi_\alpha b^i &= x_i F + \sum_{j \neq i} \varepsilon(j-i) \frac{x_i x_j \sigma}{f} f_j' g_{(i,j)}\,, i = 1, \dots, n, \end{aligned}$$

ε being the sign operator. Substituting those values in the second equation and applying the Euler relation for F, we get that

$$d_{n-1} A_{\frac{m+n}{d_{n-1}}} F + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} L_{(i,j)} g_{(i,j)} = c,$$

where

$$L_{(i,j)} = ((w_j - w_i)\sigma + (w_0 - w_i)x_j - (w_0 - w_j)x_i + x_i l_j \partial_i - x_j l_i \partial_j)\, \varphi_\alpha^{-1}$$

for each pair (i,j); they depend on α only because they apply φ_α^{-1}, which is always an isomorphism for the values of α under consideration, to the $g_{(i,j)}$.

The operator acting on F is $A := d_{n-1} A_{\frac{m+n}{d_{n-1}}}$. Now if $d_{n-1}\alpha$ is not an integer, A is invertible, and so the system has a solution. And when $d_{n-1}\alpha$ is integer the lack of surjectivity is independent of the choice of α, as we wanted to know; should the equation above have a solution, it occurs for any α, changing appropriately m. In conclusion, all the classes modulo $\mathbb{Z}$ of the elements of $\{1, \dots, d_{n-1}-1\}$ appear as exponents of every cohomology of M_n with the same multiplicity and we are done. □

Lemma 4.3. *Let $X = Y \times Z$ be the product of two smooth affine varieties such that Z is of dimension one. Let K be a complex of coherent $\mathcal{D}_X$-modules. Then for any integer i we have the exact sequence*

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}^0\left(\pi_{1,+}\mathcal{H}^i K\right) \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}^i\left(\pi_{1,+}K\right) \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}^{-1}\left(\pi_{1,+}\mathcal{H}^{i+1}K\right) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Proof. Let us fix i and consider the truncation triangle

$$\tau_{\leq i}K \longrightarrow K \longrightarrow \tau_{\geq i+1}K,$$

and apply $\pi_{1,+}$ to it. Since Y and Z are affine, $\mathcal{H}^k \pi_{1,+}\tau_{\leq i}K = 0$ for any $k > i$, and $\mathcal{H}^l \pi_{1,+}\tau_{\geq i+1}K = 0$ for every $l < i$ because π_1 is of relative dimension one and $\pi_{1,+}$ is just taking a relative de Rham complex. Moreover, we can also deduce that $\mathcal{H}^i \pi_{1,+}\tau_{\leq i}K = \mathcal{H}^0\left(\pi_{1,+}\mathcal{H}^i K\right)$ and $\mathcal{H}^i \pi_{1,+}\tau_{\geq i+1}K = \mathcal{H}^{-1}\left(\pi_{1,+}\mathcal{H}^{i+1}K\right)$. Therefore, the long exact sequence of cohomology of the triangle above contains in the i-th degree the piece we were looking for. □

Proposition 4.4. *For each $n \geq 1$, K_n is concentrated in degrees $-(n-1), \dots, 0$, $\mathcal{H}^i(K_n) \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{G}_m}^{\binom{n}{i+n-1}}$ for all $-(n-1) \leq i \leq -1$ and in degree zero, we can find exactly n copies of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{G}_m}$ among the composition factors of $\mathcal{H}^0(K_n)$. The direct sum of the rest is a $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{G}_m}$-module of Euler-Poincaré characteristic -1, without any constant composition factor.*

Proof. To deal in a better way with the cohomologies of K_n, let us separate the copies of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{G}_m}$ from the semisimplification of the rest of composition factors, such that $\mathcal{H}^i(K_n)^{\mathrm{ss}} = \mathcal{O}^{c_i} \oplus F_i$, that is to say, let the direct sum of the nonconstant composition factors of $\mathcal{H}^i(K_n)$ be F_i.

We can easily deduce that $\mathcal{H}^i(K_n) = 0$ for every $i \notin \{-n, \dots, 0\}$. Let us proceed inductively in n to find the rest. If $n = 1$ we already have everything proved at lemma 3.8, so let us go for the general case assuming that we know K_{n-1}. Remember that we have the distinguished triangle

$$K_n \longrightarrow \pi_{2,+}(\pi_2\phi_n)^+ K_{n-1} \longrightarrow M_n.$$

Let us calculate the long exact sequence of cohomology of that triangle. By the previous lemma we have an exact sequence for any i of the form

$$0 \to \mathcal{H}^0\pi_{2,+}\mathcal{H}^i(\pi_2\phi_n)^+K_{n-1} \to \mathcal{H}^i\pi_{2,+}(\pi_2\phi_n)^+K_{n-1} \to \mathcal{H}^{-1}\pi_{2,+}\mathcal{H}^{i+1}(\pi_2\phi_n)^+K_{n-1} \to 0.$$

$(\pi_2\phi_n)^+$ is an exact functor of $\mathcal{D}$-modules, so the images by it of the composition factors of K_{n-1} will be direct sums of the composition factors of $(\pi_2\phi_n)^+K_{n-1}$. On the other hand, if any of the cohomologies of $\mathcal{H}^i(K_n)$ is formed, among others, by an extension of $\mathcal{O}^a_{\mathbb{G}_m}$ by $\mathcal{O}^b_{\mathbb{G}_m}$ for certain a and b, it will necessarily be trivial (although that does not happen in general; consider for instance the extension $0 \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{G}_m} \to \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{G}_m}/(D^2) \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{G}_m} \to 0$). In fact, by theorem 3.4, the cohomologies $\mathcal{H}^i(j^+\bar{K}_n)$ do not have any singularity at the origin. Now take into account that those cohomologies are nothing but the image by ι_n^+ of those of K_n, so any of the extensions of $\mathcal{O}^a_{\mathbb{G}_m}$ that we could have may be extended to the analogous over an affine line and then must be trivial, for $\mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{A}^1}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}^1}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}^1}) = 0$.

Having proved this we can claim that the exact sequences above will split for any $i \neq 0$. Thanks to the global Künneth formula we know that the long exact sequence will be like this:

$$0 \to \mathcal{H}^{-n}(K_n) \to 0 \to 0 \to \mathcal{H}^{-(n-1)}(K_n) \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{G}_m} \to 0 \to$$

$$\vdots$$

$$\to \mathcal{H}^i(K_n) \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{G}_m}^{\binom{n-1}{i+n-2}+\binom{n}{i+n-1}} \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{G}_m}^{\binom{n-1}{i+n-2}} \to$$

$$\vdots$$

$$\to \mathcal{H}^{-1}(K_n) \to \mathcal{H}^{-1}\left(\pi_{2,+}(\pi_2\phi_n)^+K_{n-1}\right) \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{G}_m}^{\binom{n-1}{n-3}} \to$$

$$\to \mathcal{H}^0(K_n) \to \mathcal{H}^0\left(\pi_{2,+}(\pi_2\phi_n)^+K_{n-1}\right) \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{G}_m}^{n-1} \oplus \bigoplus_{a=1}^{d_{n-1}-1} \mathcal{K}_{a/d_{n-1}} \to 0,$$

where the composition factors of the two nontrivial cohomologies of $\pi_{2,+}(\pi_2\phi_n)^+K_{n-1}$ are, respectively, those of $\mathcal{H}^{-1}\left(\pi_{2,+}(\pi_2\phi_n)^+\mathcal{G}_{n-1}\right)$ and $\binom{n-1}{n-3} + \binom{n}{n-2}$ copies of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{G}_m}$, and those appearing at $\mathcal{H}^0\left(\pi_{2,+}(\pi_2\phi_n)^+\mathcal{G}_{n-1}\right)$ and $2n-2$ copies of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{G}_m}$.

By irreducibility, $c_{-n} = 0$, $c_{-(n-1)} = 1$ and $F_i = 0$ for any $i \neq -1, 0$, so for those values of i, $\mathcal{H}^i(K_n) \cong \mathcal{O}^{c_i}$.

Now note that the global de Rham cohomologies of K_n and $\mathcal{O}_{Z_n}$ are the same. The second one is already known, thanks to [Ca1, 5.2], so we will have the following system of equations:

$$\begin{cases} c_i + c_{i+1} = \binom{n+1}{i+n},\ i = -(n-1), \dots, -3 \\ h^{-1}_{-1} + c_{-2} + c_{-1} = \binom{n+1}{n-2} \\ h^0_{-1} + h^{-1}_0 + c_{-1} + c_0 = \binom{n+1}{n-1} \\ h^0_0 + c_0 = n+1, \end{cases}$$

where h_i^j is the dimension of the j-th global de Rham cohomology of F_i. From the first equations we obtain that $c_i = \binom{n}{i+n-1}$ for $i = -(n-1), \ldots, -2$, and since

$$h_i^{-1} = \dim \Gamma \left(\mathbb{G}_m, \mathbf{R}\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{G}_m}} \left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{G}_m}, F_i \right) \right),$$

by irreducibility both h_i^{-1} must vanish, so $c_{-1} = \binom{n}{n-2}$ and our system can be reduced to:

$$\begin{cases} h_{-1}^0 + c_0 = n \\ h_0^0 + c_0 = n+1. \end{cases}$$

Thanks to that, we can also affirm that almost every row of the long exact sequence above is a single short exact sequence in itself, all of them with the zero module at the beginning and the end, except for the last one. Paying attention to the amount of copies of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{G}_m}$ in the last of those short exact sequences, we get that $c_0 + n - 1 = 2n - 2 + r$, r being the rank of the constant part of $\mathcal{H}^0 \left(\pi_{2,+}(\pi_2 \phi_n)^+ \mathcal{G}_{n-1} \right)$. Therefore, $n - 1 \leq c_0 \leq n$. Let us see that $c_0 = n$ by proving that $r \geq 1$. We will do it in a very similar way to part of the proof of proposition 4.2.

We will work with a $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{G}_m}$-module whose semisimplification is the same as that of $\mathcal{G}_{n-1}$, as the hypergeometric $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{G}_m}$-module

$$\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{H}_{\gamma_{n-1}} \left(\frac{1}{w_0}, \ldots, \frac{w_0}{w_0}, \ldots, \frac{w_{n-1}-1}{w_{n-1}}; \frac{1}{d_{n-1}}, \ldots, \frac{d_{n-1}-1}{d_{n-1}} \right).$$

As before it is easy to see that $(\pi_2 \phi_n)^+ \mathcal{G} \cong \mathcal{D}_{(\mathbb{G}_m - \{1\}) \times \mathbb{G}_m} / (P_0, P_1)$, where

$$P_0 = \partial_z - \frac{d_n z - w_n}{z(1-z)} D_\lambda \text{ and } P_1 = \gamma_{n-1} z^{w_n} (1-z)^{d_{n-1}} \prod_{i=1}^{d_{n-1}-1} (D_\lambda - \alpha_i) - \lambda \prod_{j=1}^{d_{n-1}-1} (D_\lambda - \beta_j).$$

Now we should prove that there exists a nonzero element in the cokernel of ∂_z at the $\mathcal{D}$-module $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{G}_m} \left[z, (z(1-z))^{-1} \right] [\partial_z] / (P_0, P_1)$ such that its image by D_λ vanishes.

Let $g := z(1-z)$. The elements of $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{G}_m} \left[z, g^{-1} \right] [\partial_z]$ can be written as

$$a = \sum_{j,k \geq 0} \left(\sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} (a_{ijk} + b_{ijk} z) g^i \right) \partial_z^j \partial_\lambda^k,$$

with all of the a_{ijk} and the b_{ijk} belonging to $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{G}_m}$. Applying ∂_z to a we obtain that

$$\partial_z a = \sum_{j,k \geq 0} \left(\sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} (c_{ijk} + d_{ijk} z) g^i \right) \partial_z^j \partial_\lambda^k,$$

where the following equations hold for every i, j, k:

$$\begin{cases} c_{ijk} = (1+2i) b_{ijk} + (i+1) a_{i+1,j,k} + a_{i,j-1,k} \\ d_{ijk} = -2(i+1) a_{i+1,j,k} - (i+1) b_{i+1,j,k} + b_{i,j-1,k} \end{cases}$$

Since $d_{-1,0,0} = 0$ independently of the a_{ijk} and the b_{ijk}, $e = (-w_n + d_n z) g^{-1}$ cannot be the image by ∂_z of any element of $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{G}_m} \left[z, g^{-1} \right] [\partial_z]$. Moreover, its degrees in ∂_z and D_λ are zero, so it cannot belong to the ideal generated by P_0 and P_1, and its class in $\mathcal{H}^0 \pi_{2,+}(\pi_2 \phi_n)^+ \mathcal{G}$ is nonzero. To finish this point, just notice that $D_\lambda e = -P_0 + \partial_z$, which is zero at the quotient.

Summing up, $r = 1$, and then $c_0 = n$, so $h_{-1}^0 = 0$ and $h_0^0 = 1$. This proves everything except for $\mathcal{H}^{-1} \left(\pi_{2,+}(\pi_2 \phi_n)^+ \mathcal{G}_{n-1} \right) = 0$, which would also give us that $G_{-1} = 0$. *A priori*, we only know that it is a $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{G}_m}$-module of Euler-Poincaré characteristic zero, so it will be an extension of certain nontrivial Kummer $\mathcal{D}$-modules (actually a direct sum because of the same reason that implied the absence of nontrivial extensions of copies of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{G}_m}$). As before, we will see that vanishing with an explicit calculation; we have to show that the kernel of ∂_z at $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{G}_m} \left[z, g^{-1} \right] [\partial_z] / (P_0, P_1)$ is zero.

As in the proof of proposition 4.2 again, dividing by P_0, every element of $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{G}_m}\left[z, g^{-1}\right][\partial_z]$ can be written as $a = \sum_{i=0}^{N} a_i D_\lambda^i$, with every coefficient $a_i \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{G}_m}\left[z, g^{-1}\right]$ and $g = z(1-z)$, and as in that case, we can take $N = d_{n-1} - 2$. If $N \geq d_{n-1} - 1$, we have that

$$\partial_z \cdot a = \sum_{i=0}^{N} \partial_z(a_i) D_\lambda^i + \sum_{i=0}^{N} (d_n z - w_n) g^{-1} a_i D_\lambda^{i+1},$$

so taking the symbols with respect to D_λ,

$$(d_n z - w_n) g^{-1} a_N = x\left(z^{w_n}(1-z)^{d_{n-1}} - \gamma_{n-1}^{-1}\lambda\right)$$

for some x, and so,

$$a_N = y\left(z^{w_n}(1-z)^{d_{n-1}} - \gamma_{n-1}^{-1}\lambda\right)$$

for some y. Therefore, $a = a' + y D_\lambda^{N - d_{n-1}+1} P_1$, where $\deg_{D_\lambda} a' < N$ and such that $\partial_z a$ belongs to the ideal (P_0, P_1) if and only if $\partial_z a'$ does.

Take then $a = \sum_{i=0}^{d_{n-1}-2} a_i D_\lambda^i$, and let us assume that $\partial_z a \in (P_0, P_1)$. As above, there will exist x and y belonging to $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{G}_m}\left[z, g^{-1}\right]$ such that

$$(d_n z - w_n) g^{-1} a_{d_{n-1}-2} = x\left(z^{w_n}(1-z)^{d_{n-1}} - \gamma_{n-1}^{-1}\lambda\right) \text{ and } a_{d_{n-1}-2} = y\left(z^{w_n}(1-z)^{d_{n-1}} - \gamma_{n-1}^{-1}\lambda\right).$$

Since $\deg_{D_\lambda} \partial_z a = d_{n-1} - 1$, we necessarily have that $\partial_z a = x P_1$. On the other hand, as we noted above, there must exist some number α, not an integer, such that $(D_\lambda - \alpha)a = y' P_1$.

Now $\partial_z a$ and $(D_\lambda - \alpha)(d_n z - w_n) g^{-1} a$ share their leading term in D_λ, so

$$\left(\partial_z - (D_\lambda - \alpha)(d_n z - w_n) g^{-1}\right) a$$

will vanish. Therefore, for every $i = 0, \ldots, d_{n-1} - 2$ we will have that

$$\partial_z(a_i) - (d_n z - w_n) g^{-1} (D_\lambda - \alpha)(a_i) = 0.$$

Writing locally each a_i as $\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} a_{ij} \lambda^j$, with each a_{ij} belonging to $\Bbbk\left[z, g^{-1}\right]$, we must have that, for any i and j,

$$\partial_z(a_{ij}) = (d_n z - w_n) g^{-1} (j - \alpha) a_{ij}.$$

This differential equation has as a formal solution space the $\Bbbk$-span of $\left(z^{w_n}(1-z)^{d_{n-1}}\right)^{\alpha - j}$, which is not algebraic. In conclusion, $\mathcal{H}^{-1}\left(\pi_{2,+}(\pi_2 \phi_n)^+ \mathcal{G}_{n-1}\right) = G_{-1} = 0$ and we are done. □

Note that the proof also shows that we have an exact sequence which will be very useful in the following, namely

$$0 \to \mathcal{H}^0(K_n) \to \mathcal{H}^0\left(\pi_{2,+}(\pi_2 \phi_n)^+ K_{n-1}\right) \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{G}_m}^{n-1} \oplus \bigoplus_{a=1}^{d_{n-1}-1} \mathcal{K}_{a/d_{n-1}} \to 0.$$

Looking at the result that we have just proved and the statement of the theorem, it is not just that among the composition factors of $\mathcal{H}^0(K_n)$ there appear copies of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{G}_m}$ and a $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{G}_m}$-module of Euler-Poincaré characteristic -1, but they are related in a particular way, adopting the form of an exact sequence. That is what we are going to prove now, not by induction but using the previous propositions.

Proposition 4.5. *For every $n \geq 1$ we have the exact sequence*

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}_n \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}^0(K_n) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{G}_m}^n \longrightarrow 0,$$

where $\mathcal{G}_n$ is a $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{G}_m}$-module of Euler-Poincaré characteristic -1, without copies of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{G}_m}$ among its composition factors.

Proof. Since by the previous proposition we know that exactly n copies of the structure sheaf occur among the composition factors of $\mathcal{H}^0(K_n)$, we will have enough if we prove that it actually has a quotient isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{G}_m}^n$, defining $\mathcal{G}_n$ as the subobject with which we take the quotient.

Let us consider $\mathcal{Z}_n \subset \mathbb{A}^n \times \mathbb{G}_m$, and let $\bar{\mathcal{Z}}_n \subset \mathbb{P}^n \times \mathbb{G}_m$ and $\mathcal{Z}_n^\infty \subset \mathbb{P}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{G}_m$ be its projective closure in the first factor and its intersection with the hyperplane at infinity, respectively. For the sake of simplicity, let us call $\mathcal{M} = \mathbf{R}\Gamma_{\left[\bar{\mathcal{Z}}_n\right]}\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n \times \mathbb{G}_m}[1]$. Then we can form the distinguished triangle

$$\mathcal{M} \longrightarrow j_+ j^+ \mathcal{M} \longrightarrow i_+ i^+ \mathcal{M} \longrightarrow$$

associated with the diagram $\mathbb{A}^n \times \mathbb{G}_m \xrightarrow{j} \mathbb{P}^n \times \mathbb{G}_m \xleftarrow{i} \mathbb{P}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{G}_m$.

Applying $\pi_{n,+}$ to the above triangle, we obtain a new triangle, whose long exact sequence of cohomology contains the following piece:

$$\ldots \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}^0 \pi_{n,+} j^+ \mathcal{M} \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}^0 \pi_{n,+} i^+ \mathcal{M} \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}^1 \pi_{n,+} \mathcal{M} \longrightarrow 0.$$

Now, by [Me1, I.6.3.1], $j^+\mathcal{M} \cong \mathbf{R}\Gamma_{[\mathcal{Z}_n]}\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}^n \times \mathbb{G}_m}$, which is nothing but the direct image of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}_n}$ by the closed immersion $\mathcal{Z}_n \to \mathbb{A}^n \times \mathbb{G}_m$, thanks to $\mathcal{Z}_n$ being smooth. Then $\pi_{n,+} j^+ \mathcal{M}$ is actually our K_n. We will have proven the statement of the proposition if we show the following:

$$\mathcal{H}^0 \pi_{n,+} i^+ \mathcal{M} \cong \begin{cases} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{G}_m}^{n+1} & \text{if } 2 \mid n \\ \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{G}_m}^{n} & \text{if } 2 \nmid n \end{cases} \quad \text{and } \mathcal{H}^1 \pi_{n,+} \mathcal{M} \cong \begin{cases} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{G}_m} & \text{if } 2 \mid n \\ 0 & \text{if } 2 \nmid n \end{cases}.$$

Let us prove the first isomorphisms. Thanks again to [*loc. cit.*],

$$i_+ i^+ \mathcal{M} \cong \mathbf{R}\Gamma_{[\mathbb{P}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{G}_m]} \left(\mathbf{R}\Gamma_{\left[\bar{\mathcal{Z}}_n\right]} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n \times \mathbb{G}_m}[1] \right)[1] \cong \mathbf{R}\Gamma_{[\mathcal{Z}_n^\infty]} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{G}_m}[1] \cong \pi_1^+ \mathbf{R}\Gamma_{\left[\bar{A}\right]} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n-1}}[1],$$

where $\bar{A}$ is the projective arrangement of hyperplanes

$$\bar{A} : x_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot x_n (x_1 + \ldots + x_n) = 0 \subset \mathbb{P}^{n-1},$$

such that $\mathcal{Z}_n^\infty \cong \bar{A} \times \mathbb{G}_m$. Thus by the global Künneth formula $\pi_{n,+} i^+ \mathcal{M} \cong \pi_{\mathbb{P}^{n-1},+} \mathbf{R}\Gamma_{\left[\bar{A}\right]} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n-1}}[1] \otimes_{\Bbbk} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{G}_m}$.

We are then interested in knowing $\mathcal{H}^1 \pi_{\mathbb{P}^{n-1},+} \mathbf{R}\Gamma_{\left[\bar{A}\right]} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n-1}}$. From considering the diagram $M(\bar{A}) := \mathbb{P}^{n-1} - \bar{A} \xrightarrow{j} \mathbb{P}^{n-1} \leftarrow \bar{A}$ (renaming j) and applying $\pi_{\mathbb{P}^{n-1},+}$ we can obtain the distinguished triangle

$$\pi_{\mathbb{P}^{n-1},+} \mathbf{R}\Gamma_{\left[\bar{A}\right]} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n-1}} \longrightarrow \pi_{\mathbb{P}^{n-1},+} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n-1}} \longrightarrow \pi_{\mathbb{P}^{n-1},+} j_+ \mathcal{O}_{M(\bar{A})}.$$

Note that $M(\bar{A})$ is also the complement of an affine arrangement of n hyperplanes in general position. Therefore, by virtue of [Ca1, 5.2] and knowing the global de Rham cohomology of the projective space, the following fragments occur in the long exact sequence of cohomology of the triangle above:

$$0 \longrightarrow \Bbbk^n \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}^1 \pi_{\mathbb{P}^{n-1},+} \mathbf{R}\Gamma_{\left[\bar{A}\right]} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n-1}} \longrightarrow \Bbbk \longrightarrow 0,$$

if n is even, or

$$\ldots \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}^0 \pi_{\mathbb{P}^{n-1},+} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n-1}} \cong \Bbbk \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}^0 \pi_{\mathbb{P}^{n-1},+} j_+ \mathcal{O}_{M(\bar{A})} \cong \Bbbk^n \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}^1 \pi_{\mathbb{P}^{n-1},+} \mathbf{R}\Gamma_{\left[\bar{A}\right]} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n-1}} \longrightarrow 0,$$

if n is odd.

The complex $\pi_{\mathbb{P}^{n-1},+} j_+ \mathcal{O}_{M(\bar{A})}$ is isomorphic to the Orlik-Solomon algebra of the arrangement above (cf. [Bri, 5]), which is generated by the inverse of the equations of each hyperplane in it,

so the morphism $\mathcal{H}^0\pi_{\mathbb{P}^{n-1},+}\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n-1}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}^0\pi_{\mathbb{P}^{n-1},+}j_+\mathcal{O}_{M(\bar{A})}$ is zero and then,

$$\mathcal{H}^1\pi_{\mathbb{P}^{n-1},+}\mathbf{R}\Gamma_{[\bar{A}]}\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n-1}} \cong \begin{cases} \Bbbk^{n+1} & \text{if } n \mid 2 \\ \Bbbk^n & \text{if } n \nmid 2 \end{cases}$$

We already have the first isomorphisms that we were seeking. Let us go for the second ones, and let us go back to $\mathcal{X}_{n,w}$ to obtain them, but restricting the variety of parameters to $\mathbb{G}_m$. We already know that $p_{n,+}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_{n,w}}$ is a semisimple complex of $\mathcal{D}$-modules, and the middle extension of its restriction to $U_n^* = \mathbb{G}_m - \left\{\lambda^{d_n} - \gamma_n^{-1}\right\}$, where p_n is smooth. Every fiber over a point of U_n^* is a smooth projective hypersurface, and so, except for degree zero, they will have the same global de Rham cohomology as that of the projective space $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$, seen as a subvariety of $\mathbb{P}^n$. In particular, $\mathcal{H}^1 p_{n,+}\mathcal{O}_{p_n^{-1}(\lambda_0)} \cong \Bbbk$ if n is even, vanishing if n is odd. In that case, it is obvious that $\mathcal{H}^0 p_{n,+}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_{n,w}}$ will vanish too, but what happens when n is even?

Let us consider in that case $\mathcal{X}_{n,w}^{\mathrm{af}}$ and $\mathcal{X}_{n,w}^{\infty}$ to be the affine part of $\mathcal{X}_{n,w}$ and its intersection with the hyperplane at infinity within the first factor of $\mathbb{P}^n \times \mathbb{G}_m$. As before, we have the exact sequence

$$\ldots \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}^0 p_{n,+}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_{n,w}^{\mathrm{af}}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}^0 p_{n,+}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_{n,w}^{\infty}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}^1 p_{n,+}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_{n,w}} \longrightarrow 0.$$

Note that $\mathcal{X}_{n,w}^{\infty}$ is the cartesian product of a Fermat hypersurface with $\mathbb{G}_m$, so $\mathcal{H}^0 p_{n,+}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_{n,w}^{\infty}} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{G}_m}^r$ for some $r > 0$. We have then that $\mathcal{H}^1 p_{n,+}\mathcal{O}_{p_n^{-1}(U_n^*)}$ is a $\mathcal{D}_{U_n^*}$-module of generic rank 1 and at the same time a quotient of $\mathcal{O}_{U_n^*}^r$, so it is nothing but $\mathcal{O}_{U_n^*}$. In conclusion, $\mathcal{H}^1 p_{n,+}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_{n,w}} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{G}_m}$ if n is even.

Now let us continue our journey passing from $\mathcal{X}_{n,w}$ to $\bar{\mathcal{Y}}_{n,w}$, and from there to $\bar{\mathcal{Z}}_n$. Remember that there was an étale morphism between the two latter defined by $\tilde{\alpha}_n((x_0 : \ldots : x_n), \lambda) = ((\lambda x_0 : x_1 : \ldots : x_n), \lambda^{-d_n})$. Since $\tilde{\alpha}_n^+$ is an exact functor in the category of $\mathcal{D}$-modules and can be extended to $\mathbb{P}^n \times \mathbb{G}_m$, we have that $\mathbf{R}\Gamma_{[\bar{\mathcal{Y}}_{n,w}]}\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n \times \mathbb{G}_m}[1] \cong \tilde{\alpha}_n^+\mathcal{M}$ and by the smooth base change theorem, $\pi_{n,+}\mathbf{R}\Gamma_{[\bar{\mathcal{Y}}_{n,w}]}\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n \times \mathbb{G}_m}[1] \cong \iota_n^+\pi_{n,+}\mathcal{M}$.

Since $\mathcal{H}^1\pi_{n,+}\mathbf{R}\Gamma_{[\bar{\mathcal{Y}}_{n,w}]}\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n \times \mathbb{G}_m}[1]$ is a direct summand of $\mathcal{H}^1 p_{n,+}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_{n,w}}$, it must be zero if n is odd, and it can either be $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{G}_m}$ or vanish if n is even. However, if this last happened, then $\mathcal{H}^1 p_{n,+}\mathcal{M}$ would vanish too, because nothing else can vanish after taking the inverse image by an étale map, and then, $\mathcal{H}^0 p_{n,+}j^+\mathcal{M} \cong \mathcal{H}^0 K_n$ would have a quotient equal to $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{G}_m}^{n+1}$, contradicting the fact that it has only n copies of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{G}_m}$ among its composition factors.

Therefore $\mathcal{H}^1(\iota_n^+ p_{n,+}\mathcal{M})$ is either $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{G}_m}$ or zero, if n is even or odd, respectively. In this last case we have proved what we wanted to, so let us take n even. Since ι_n^+ is an exact functor in the category of $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{G}_m}$-modules, $\mathcal{H}^1(p_{n,+}\mathcal{M})$ must be a Kummer $\mathcal{D}$-module, eventually trivial. But it is a quotient of $\mathcal{H}^0(p_{n,+}i^+\mathcal{M})$, which we already know that it is a direct sum of copies of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{G}_m}$, so it will also be $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{G}_m}$. This ends the proof of the second couple of isomorphisms. □

Now what we have to prove is the rest of the properties of $\mathcal{G}_n$ that are of interest to us: its generic rank, its singular points and its exponents at the origin and infinity. The first two can still be obtained from the inductive context.

Proposition 4.6. *For every $n \geq 1$, the generic rank of $\mathcal{G}_n$ is $d_n - 1$, and it has a unique singularity at $\mathbb{G}_m$, namely at γ_n.*

Proof. We already know that $\mathcal{G}_n$ is a regular holonomic $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{G}_m}$-module, and its Euler-Poincaré characteristic is -1, so by corollary 2.5 it will have a singularity at some point λ_0. Its restriction to the rest of $\mathbb{G}_m$ will then be a module with a connection of some rank to be determined.

Since we know by lemma 3.8 that the statement of the proposition is true for $n = 1$, let us prove the rest by induction, and so let us assume its veracity for $n - 1$.

Recall that we had an exact sequence of the form

$$0 \to \mathcal{H}^0(K_n) \to \mathcal{H}^0\left(\pi_{2,+}(\pi_2\phi_n)^+K_{n-1}\right) \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{G}_m}^{n-1} \oplus \bigoplus_{a=1}^{d_{n-1}-1} \mathcal{K}_{a/d_{n-1}} \to 0.$$

Then the generic rank of $\mathcal{G}_n$ plus d_{n-1} is equal to the generic rank of $\pi_{2,+}(\pi_2\phi_n)^+\mathcal{G}_{n-1}$; let us find that one.

$(\pi_2\phi_n)^+\mathcal{G}_{n-1}$ is a regular holonomic $\mathcal{D}$-module over $(\mathbb{G}_m - \{1\}) \times \mathbb{G}_m$, having singularities along the curve $C_\lambda := (\pi_2\phi_n)^{-1}(\gamma_{n-1}) : \lambda = \gamma_{n-1}z^{w_n}(1-z)^{d_{n-1}}$. Fixing λ_1 in $\mathbb{G}_m$, the intersection of C_λ and the line $\lambda = \lambda_1$ are d_n points whenever $\lambda_1 \neq \gamma_n$; it is formed by $d_n - 1$ points in that case.

Now consider the cartesian diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\mathbb{G}_m - \{1\}) \times \{\lambda\} & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{G}_m-\{1\}} \times i_\lambda} & (\mathbb{G}_m - \{1\}) \times \mathbb{G}_m \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi_2} & \square & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi_2} \\ \{\lambda\} & \xrightarrow{\quad i_\lambda \quad} & \mathbb{G}_m \end{array}.$$

By applying the base change formula,

$$i_\lambda^+\pi_{2,+}(\pi_2\phi_n)^+\mathcal{G}_{n-1} \cong \pi_{2,+}(\mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{G}_m-\{1\}} \times i_\lambda)^+(\pi_2\phi_n)^+\mathcal{G}_{n-1}.$$

Since $\pi_{2,+}(\pi_2\phi_n)^+\mathcal{G}_{n-1}$ has no singularities at $\lambda \neq \lambda_0$, we have that $i_\lambda^+\pi_{2,+}(\pi_2\phi_n)^+\mathcal{G}_{n-1}$ is a complex of $\Bbbk$-vector spaces concentrated in degree zero for those values of λ. Thus it can be seen as a single vector space, and its dimension equals the generic rank of $\pi_{2,+}(\pi_2\phi_n)^+\mathcal{G}_{n-1}$.

On the other hand, since for a fixed λ the morphism $\pi_2\phi_n(\mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{G}_m-\{1\}} \times i_\lambda)$ is étale, $(\mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{G}_m-\{1\}} \times i_\lambda)^+(\pi_2\phi_n)^+\mathcal{G}_{n-}$ is a single $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{G}_m-\{1\}}$-module and not a complex of them. Its Euler-Poincaré characteristic will be

$$\begin{aligned} \dim \mathcal{H}^{-1}\pi_{2,+}(\mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{G}_m-\{1\}} \times i_\lambda)^+(\pi_2\phi_n)^+\mathcal{G}_{n-1} - \dim \mathcal{H}^0\pi_{2,+}(\mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{G}_m-\{1\}} \times i_\lambda)^+(\pi_2\phi_n)^+\mathcal{G}_{n-1} = \\ = -\dim i_\lambda^+\pi_{2,+}(\pi_2\phi_n)^+\mathcal{G}_{n-1} = -\operatorname{rk}\pi_{2,+}(\pi_2\phi_n)^+\mathcal{G}_{n-1}. \end{aligned}$$

The $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{G}_m}$-module $\mathcal{G}_{n-1}$ has no punctual part, so applying proposition 2.5,

$$\chi\left((\mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{G}_m-\{1\}} \times i_\lambda)^+(\pi_2\phi_n)^+\mathcal{G}_{n-1}\right) = -(d_n + d_{n-1} - 1)$$

when $\lambda \neq \gamma_n$, so in conclusion, the generic rank of $\mathcal{G}_n$ must be $d_n + d_{n-1} - 1 - d_{n-1} = d_n - 1$. Now, if the point where $\mathcal{G}_n$ has a singularity were different from γ_n, then we could do the same process above and see that

$$\chi\left(i_\lambda^+(\pi_2\phi_n)^+\mathcal{G}_{n-1}\right) = -(d_n + d_{n-1} - 2),$$

which cannot be possible. Therefore, $\lambda_0 = \gamma_n$. □

5. Exponents of $\mathcal{G}_n$ and proof of the main theorem

In the last section we proved every part of the main theorem that could be accomplished by the inductive process. In this section we will provide the proof of theorem 3.7 by using all the information given by the propositions of the previous section and finding the values for the exponents of $\mathcal{G}_n$ at both the origin and infinity.

Proof of theorem 3.7, part one. The statement about the "constant" part of K_n is part of proposition 4.4, and the existence of $\mathcal{G}_n$ and the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}_n \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}^0(K_n) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{G}_m}^n \longrightarrow 0$$

follows from proposition 4.5.

Now by proposition 4.6, $\mathcal{G}_n$ is a regular $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{G}_m}$-module of Euler-Poincaré characteristic -1, of rank $d_n - 1$ and singularities at the origin and infinity, so by propositions 2.10 and 2.17 and proposition 2.18 its semisimplification will consist of k Kummer $\mathcal{D}$-modules and an irreducible hypergeometric $\mathcal{D}$-module of type $(d_n - 1 - k, d_n - 1 - k)$, with a singularity at γ_n, that is to say,

$$\mathcal{G}_n^{\mathrm{ss}} = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in A} \mathcal{K}_\alpha \oplus \mathcal{F}_n,$$

where $|A| = k$ and $\mathcal{F}_n$ is an irreducible hypergeometric $\mathcal{D}$-module of type $(d_n - 1 - k, d_n - 1 - k)$ of the form $\mathcal{H}_{\gamma_n}(\alpha_i; \beta_j)$.

Since we want to characterize the Kummer $\mathcal{D}$-modules and $\mathcal{F}_n$, we only need, by virtue of propositions 2.7 and 2.17, to find the exponents of $\mathcal{G}_n$ at both zero and infinity. Thus those occurring at both points will determine the Kummer summands, and the rest will be the parameters of $\mathcal{F}_n$.

Note that p_n is a proper and smooth morphism at the origin, so $p_{n,+}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_{n,w}}$ does not have any singularity there, as well as every of its subobjects, like its invariant part under the action of G. Now, as in the proof of theorem 3.4, $\bar{K}_n$ sits in a distinguished triangle between $\left(p_{n,+}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_{n,w}}\right)^G$ and a complex whose cohomologies are just copies of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}^1}$, so it cannot have a singularity at the origin either. Since $j^+\bar{K}_n = \iota_n^+ K_n$ by construction, the $d_n - 1$ exponents at the origin of $\mathcal{G}_n$ can only be fractions of denominator equal to d_n, say with numerators $k_1, \ldots, k_{d_n - 1} \in \{1, \ldots, d_n\}$. □

We make a halt in the proof in order to recover some more information we need related to the Fourier transform of $\bar{K}_n$ from the work [DS] by Douai and Sabbah.

Proposition 5.1. (cf. [DS, 3.2]) *Let $n \geq 1$. Then, we have an isomorphism of complexes of $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{G}_m}$-modules*

$$j^+ \operatorname{FT} \bar{K}_n \cong [d_n]^+ \mathcal{H}_{d_n^{d_n}\gamma_n}\left(\frac{1}{w_0}, \ldots, \frac{w_0}{w_0}, \ldots, \frac{w_n}{w_n}; \emptyset\right).$$

□

Let us prove now that the nonconstant part of $j^+\bar{K}_n$ is

$$\iota_n^+ \mathcal{H}_{\gamma_n}\left(\operatorname{cancel}\left(\frac{1}{w_0}, \ldots, \frac{w_0}{w_0}, \ldots, \frac{1}{w_n}, \ldots, \frac{w_n}{w_n}; \frac{1}{d_n}, \ldots, \frac{d_n}{d_n}\right)\right).$$

In order to do that we must introduce a new functor for complexes of $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{A}^1}$-modules, Fourier localization.

Definition 5.2. Let $\mathcal{M} \in \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{A}^1})$ be a bounded complex of $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{A}^1}$-modules, and let j be the canonical inclusion of $\mathbb{G}_m$ into $\mathbb{A}^1$. We define its Fourier localization as

$$\operatorname{FLoc} \mathcal{M} = \operatorname{FT}^{-1} j_+ j^+ \operatorname{FT} \mathcal{M}.$$

With this definition, it is easy to see the following fact, and we leave it to the reader:

Proposition 5.3. *The functor* FLoc *is exact in the category of coherent $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{A}^1}$-modules. For any holonomic $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{A}^1}$-module $\mathcal{M}$, there exists a canonical morphism $\mathcal{M} \longrightarrow \operatorname{FLoc} \mathcal{M}$ whose kernel and cokernel are constant. In particular, the nonconstant composition factors of $\mathcal{M}$ and* $\operatorname{FLoc} \mathcal{M}$ *are the same.*

□

Proof of theorem 3.6. The proposition above shows that we just need to prove that $j^+ \operatorname{FLoc} \bar{K}_n$ is isomorphic to $\iota_n^+ \mathcal{H}$. By proposition 5.1 we know that $j^+ \operatorname{FT} \bar{K}_n \cong \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{G}_m}/(P)$, where P is

$$\gamma_n \prod_{i=0}^{n} \prod_{j=0}^{w_i} \left(D - \frac{d_n j}{w_i}\right) - \lambda^{d_n}.$$

Therefore, applying FT^{-1} to the canonical morphism $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{A}^1}/(P) \to j_+j^+ \mathrm{FT}\,\bar{K}_n$, we can deduce that there exists another canonical morphism from the nonconstant part $\mathcal{M}$ of $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{A}^1}/(Q)$ to $\mathrm{FLoc}\,\bar{K}_n$, with Q being the image by FT^{-1} of P, that is,

$$Q = \partial^{d_n} - \gamma_n \prod_{i=0}^{n} \prod_{j=0}^{w_i} \left(\partial\lambda + \frac{d_n j}{w_i} \right).$$

Since the kernel and the cokernel of the morphism $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{A}^1}/(P) \to j_+j^+ \mathrm{FT}\,\bar{K}_n$ are necessarily supported at the origin, then both those of $\mathcal{M} \to \mathrm{FLoc}\,\bar{K}_n$ must be constant. However, by the exactness of FLoc and proposition 4.6, both $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{A}^1}$-modules have the same generic rank, so we are canceling the same constant factors at each side, making that morphism bijective.

Now we just need to apply j^+ to the isomorphism $\mathcal{M} \to \mathrm{FLoc}\,\bar{K}_n$, but then we can multiply Q on the left by λ^{d_n}, and since $\lambda^{d_n}\partial^{d_n} = \prod_{j=0}^{d_n-1}(D-j)$, we have in conclusion that $j^+ \mathrm{FLoc}\,\bar{K}_n$ is isomorphic to the nonconstant part of the quotient of $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{G}_m}$ by the left ideal generated by

$$\prod_{j=0}^{d_n-1} (D-j) - \gamma_n \lambda^{d_n} \prod_{i=0}^{n} \prod_{j=0}^{w_i} \left(\partial\lambda + \frac{d_n j}{w_i} \right),$$

which is isomorphic to the inverse image by ι_n of $\mathcal{H}$, up to taking tensor product with $\mathcal{K}_{1/d_n}$.□

Regarding now the exponents of $\mathcal{G}_n$ at the origin and infinity, we can deduce from last proposition that they should be, up to a parameter b coming from tensoring with a Kummer $\mathcal{D}$-module $\mathcal{K}_{b/d_n}$, all of the parameters modulo $\mathbb{Z}$ but one of the hypergeometric $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{G}_m}$-module

$$\mathcal{H}_{\gamma_n}\left(\mathrm{cancel}\left(\frac{1}{w_0}, \ldots, \frac{w_0}{w_0}, \ldots, \frac{1}{w_n}, \ldots, \frac{w_n}{w_n}; \frac{1}{d_n}, \ldots, \frac{d_n}{d_n} \right) \right).$$

The one missing will be of the form a/d_n, because we know that the rank of $\mathcal{G}_n$ is $d_n - 1$ thanks to proposition 4.6. Summing up, they will be, respectively at the origin and infinity:

$$W_n^{a,b} := \left\{ \frac{1}{w_0} + \frac{b}{d_n}, \ldots, \frac{w_0}{w_0} + \frac{b}{d_n}, \ldots, \frac{w_n}{w_n} + \frac{b}{d_n} \right\} - \left\{ \frac{a+b}{d_n} \right\},$$

$$D_n^{a,b} := \left\{ \frac{1}{d_n}, \ldots, \frac{d_n}{d_n} \right\} - \left\{ \frac{a+b}{d_n} \right\}.$$

(We will avoid mentioning a and b in the symbols of W_n and D_n whenever it is clear from the context.)

Now we ought to see which possible values of a and b can really happen. We will perform it by using the work done at [Ca2].

Proposition 5.4. *Keeping the notation of the discussion above, we can take a and b to be both of them d_n, so that the exponents at the origin and infinity of $\mathcal{G}_n$ are*

$$\left\{ \frac{1}{w_0}, \ldots, \frac{w_0}{w_0}, \ldots, \frac{w_n - 1}{w_n} \right\}, \text{ and } \left\{ \frac{1}{d_n}, \ldots, \frac{d_n - 1}{d_n} \right\}.$$

Proof. Let us show that $\lambda_{n,+}\mathcal{O}_{Z_n}$ has as exponents at the origin and infinity $W_n^{d_n,d_n}$ and $D_n^{d_n,d_n}$, respectively.

From [Ca2, 4.2] we can deduce that the exponents at the origin of $\mathcal{H}^0\lambda_{n,+}\mathcal{O}_{Z_n}$ can only be those of the form $j/w_i \mod \mathbb{Z}$, and each of them which is not integer occurs with the same multiplicity. In fact, since the exponents of $\mathcal{G}_n$ form the set $W_n^{a,b}$ for some a and b, that multiplicity of each noninteger exponent of $\mathcal{G}_n$ must be one and so, that of the integer one is n. Let us show now that that implies that there is no possible choice of a and b other than $a = b = d_n$.

If $a \neq d_n$, then the value b/d_n appears at $W_n^{a,b}$ with multiplicity $n+1$, and if it is not 1, then there must exist $n+1$ different $j_k < w_k$ such that $j_k/w_k = j_l/w_l = b/d_n$, but this is impossible because of lemma [Ca2, 4.1]. Therefore, $b = d_n$, but in that case, since $a \neq d_n$, there would exist an exponent at both the origin and infinity equal to 1, and in that case, $\mathcal{G}_n$ would have a composition factor equal to $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{G}_m}$, which cannot happen by proposition 4.4.

Thus $a = d_n$. Then if $b \neq d_n$ there must exist again an exponent at both the origin and infinity equal to 1, because its multiplicity at both points is positive, but as before this is a contradiction, so in conclusion $a = b = d_n$. □

Remark 5.5. One could wonder when K_n or $\bar{K}_n$ have integer exponents (or, equivalently in the analytical setting, unipotent local monodromy) at the origin and infinity, respectively. This is a remarkable and rare, in the case of K_n, property, and can be characterized in terms of the w_i. The proofs can be found, respectively, in [Ka4, 8.8] and [DS, 2.2].

References

[AC] T. Abe; D. Caro, Theory of weights in p-adic cohomology. Submitted. Available at arXiv:1303.0662 [math.AG] (2013).

[Ba] S. Barannikov, Semi-infinite Hodge structures and mirror symmetry for projective spaces. Available at arXiv:math/0010157 [math.AG] (2000).

[Bo] A. Borel, P.-P. Grivel, B. Kaup, A. Haefliger, B. Malgrange, F. Ehlers, Algebraic $\mathcal{D}$-modules. Perspectives in Mathematics, **2**. *Academic Press, Inc., Boston, MA* (1987).

[Bri] E. Brieskorn, Sur les groupes de tresses (d'après V. I. Arnol'd). *Séminaire Bourbaki, 24ème année (1971/1972), Exp. No. 401*, 21-44. Lecture Notes in Math., **317**, *Springer, Berlin* (1973).

[Ca1] A. Castaño Domínguez, Two Mayer-Vietoris spectral sequences for $\mathcal{D}$-modules. *Trends in Number Theory*, 17-28, Contemp. Math., **649**, *American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI* (2015).

[Ca2] A. Castaño Domínguez, Exponents of some one-dimensional Gauss-Manin cohomologies, submitted. Available at arXiv:1504.08 [math.AG] (2015).

[CDR] P. Candelas, X. de la Ossa, F. Rodriguez-Villegas, Calabi-Yau Manifolds over Finite Fields I. Available at arXiv:hep-th/0012233 (2000).

[De] P. Deligne, Équations différentielles à points singuliers réguliers. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, **163**. *Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York* (1970).

[DGS] B. Dwork, G. Gerotto, F. J. Sullivan, An introduction to G-functions. Annals of Mathematics Studies, **133**. *Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ* (1994).

[DS] A. Douai, C. Sabbah, Gauss-Manin systems, Brieskorn lattices and Frobenius structures. II. *Frobenius manifolds*, 1-18, Aspects Math., **E36**, *Vieweg, Wiesbaden* (2004).

[Dw] B. Dwork, A deformation theory for the zeta function of a hypersurface. *Proc. Internat. Congr. Mathematicians (Stockholm, 1962)* 247-259 *Inst. Mittag-Leffler, Djursholm* (1963).

[Er] A. Erdélyi, Integraldarstellungen hypergeometrischer Funktionen. *Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser.*, **8** (1937), 267-277.

[Ha] J. Harris, Algebraic geometry. A first course. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, **133**. *Springer-Verlag, New York* (1992).

[HST] M. Harris, N. Shepherd-Barron, R. Taylor, A family of Calabi-Yau varieties and potential automorphy. *Ann. of Math. (2)* **171** (2010), no. 2, 779-813.

[HTT] R. Hotta, K. Takeuchi, T. Tanisaki, $\mathcal{D}$-modules, perverse sheaves, and representation theory. Progress in Mathematics, **236**. *Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA* (2008).

[Ka1] N. M. Katz, Algebraic solutions of differential equations (p-curvature and the Hodge filtration). *Invent. Math.* **18** (1972), 1-118.

[Ka2] N. M. Katz, Sommes exponentielles. Course à Orsay, automne 1979, rédigé par Gérard Laumon, préfacé par Luc Illusie. Astérisque, **79**. *Société Mathématique de France, Paris* (1980).

[Ka3] N. M. Katz, Exponential Sums and Differential Equations, Annals of Mathematics studies, **124**, *Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ* (1990).

[Ka4] N. M. Katz, Another look at the Dwork family. *Algebra, arithmetic, and geometry: in honor of Yu. I. Manin. Vol. II*, 89-126, Progr. Math., **270**, *Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA* (2009).

[Kas] M. Kashiwara, Equivariant derived category and representation of real semisimple Lie groups. *Representation theory and complex analysis*, 137-234, Lecture Notes in Math., **1931**, *Springer, Berlin* (2008).

[Kl] R. Kloosterman, The zeta function of monomial deformations of Fermat hypersurfaces. *Algebra Number Theory* **1** (2007), 421-450.

[LS] F. Loeser, C. Sabbah, Caractérisation des $\mathcal{D}$-modules hypergéométriques irréductibles sur le tore. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.* **312** (1991), 10, 735-738.

[Me1] Z. Mebkhout, Le formalisme des six opérations de Grothendieck pour les $\mathcal{D}_X$-modules cohérents. Travaux en Cours, **35**. *Hermann, Paris* (1989).

[Me2] Z. Mebkhout, Constructibilité de de Rham p-adique. *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris* **351** (2013), no. 15-16, 617-621.

[Na] L. Narváez Macarro, $\mathcal{D}$-modules in dimension 1. *Algebraic approach to differential equations. Based on the school in mathematics, Bibliotheca Alexandrina, Alexandria, Egypt, November 12-24, 2007*, 1-51, ICTP-The Abdus Salam International Centre for Theoretical Physics, *World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd., Singapore* (2010).

[RW] A. Rojas-Leon, D. Wan, Moment zeta functions for toric Calabi-Yau hypersurfaces. *Commun. Number Theory Phys.* **1** (2007), 3, 539-578.

[Sa] M. Saito, Mixed Hodge Modules. *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.*, **26** (1990), 221-333.

[Sal] A. Salerno, An Algorithmic Approach to the Dwork Family. *Women in Numbers 2: Research Directions in Number Theory*, 94-111, Contemp. Math. **606**, *American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI* (2013).

[Yu] J.-D. Yu, Variation of the unit root along the Dwork family of Calabi-Yau varieties. *Math. Ann.* **343** (2009), no. 1, 53-78.

E-mail address: alberto.castano-dominguez@mathematik.tu-chemnitz.de

Fakültat für Mathematik, Technische Universität Chemnitz. 09017 Chemnitz (Germany)

Departamento de Álgebra & Instituto de Matemáticas de la Universidad de Sevilla (IMUS), Universidad de Sevilla.